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## LECTURE PLAN

1. Brief introduction to my group - Center for Machine Perception.
2. Mathematical model of a single perspective camera.
3. Epipolar constraint.
4. Correspondence problem.
5. Results: state-of-the-art stereo, uncalibrated 3D reconstruction, VR model.

## CENTER FOR MACHINE PERCEPTION

■ Research group, head Prof. Václav Hlaváč, established 1986 as computer vision lab, under the name CMP since 1996.

- $12 \frac{1}{2}$ staff ( $1 \frac{1}{2}$ Prof., 1 Assoc. Prof., 3 PhD, 7 MSc); out of it 2 mathematicians, 2 physicists, 8 engineers) +8 full time PhD students.
- Interests: computer vision, pattern recognition, mathematical models for treating uncertainty.
- Links to industry mainly via a spin-off company Neovision Prague (10 people).
E.g. Samsung, Boeing, Texas Instruments, Robert Bosch, Kyocera, Hitachi.


## MAIN RUNNING PROJECTS

- ActIPret (R\&D, 2001-2003, IST-2001-32184) Interpreting and Understanding Activities of Expert Operators for Teaching and Education (V. Hlaváč, J. Matas).
- ISAAC (Trial, 2002, IST-2001-33266) Inspecting Sewerage Systems And Image Analysis by Computer (V. Hlaváč).
- Reconstruction of 3D scene from multiple uncalibrated views (V. Hlaváč).
- Computational stereo (R. Šára).
- Omni-directional vision. (T. Pajdla).
- Authentication based on face recognition (J. Matas).

■ Pattern recognition theory (V. Hlaváč).

## V. Hlaváč, books

Šonka M., Hlaváč V., Boyle R.B.: Image Analysis, Processing and Machine Vision, 2nd edition, PWS Boston, USA, 1999 (China edition 2002), 800 p, USD 105.


Schlesinger M.I., Hlaváč V.: Ten Lectures on Statistical and Structural Pattern Recognition Kluwer, Dordrecht, May 2002, EUR 165.

Ten Lectures<br>on Statistical<br>and Structural<br>Pattern<br>Recognition<br>Michaill I. Schlesinger and Václav Hlaváé

## COMPUTATIONAL IMAGIVG AND VISION

## BASICS OF PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY

- Pinhole model - the simplest geometrical model of human eye, photographic and TV camera.
- Perspective projection, also central projection.
- Parallel lines in the world do not remain parallel in the image (e.g., view along the straight section of a railroad).



## PROJECTIVE SPACE

Consider $(n+1)$ dimensional vector space without its origin, $\mathcal{R}^{n+1}-\{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$.

Define an equivalence relation

Projective space $\mathcal{P}^{n}$ is the quotient space of this equivalence relation.
Points in the projective space are expressed in homogeneous co-ordinates (called also projective coordinates) $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}=\left[x_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{n}^{\prime}, 1\right]^{T}$.

## RELATION BETWEEN EUCLIDEAN AND PROJECTIVE SPACES

Consider Euclidean space $\mathcal{R}^{n}$.
The one-to-one mapping from the $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ into $\mathcal{P}^{n}$

$$
\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{T} \rightarrow\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 1\right]^{T}
$$

Projective points $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0\right]^{T}$ do not have an Euclidean counterpart and represent points at infinity in a particular direction.

Consider $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0\right]^{T}$ as a limiting case of $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, \alpha\right]^{T}$ that is projectively equivalent to $\left[x_{1} / \alpha, \ldots, x_{n} / \alpha, 1\right]^{T}$, and assume that $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.

This corresponds to a point in $\mathcal{R}^{n}$ going to infinity in the direction of the radius vector $\left[x_{1} / \alpha, \ldots, x_{n} / \alpha\right] \in \mathcal{R}^{n}$.

## PROJECTIVE TRANSFORMATION (also CO-LINEATION)

Co-lineation is any mapping $\mathcal{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{n}$.
Defined by a regular $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrix $A, \tilde{\mathbf{y}}=A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}$.
Matrix $A$ is defined up to a scale factor.
Co-lineations map hyperplanes to hyperplanes.
A special case is the mapping of lines to lines that is often used in computer vision.


## CAMERA: $\mathcal{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{2}$

A scene point $\mathbf{X}_{w}$ in the world Euclidean co-ordinate system is a $3 \times 1$ vector.

The same point $\mathbf{X}_{c}$ in the camera Euclidean co-ordinate system is transformed by translation $\mathbf{t}$ (vector) and rotation $R$ (orthogonal matrix).

$$
\mathbf{X}_{c}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{c} \\
y_{c} \\
z_{c}
\end{array}\right]=R\left(\mathbf{X}_{w}-\mathbf{t}\right)
$$

## CAMERA: $\mathcal{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{2}$ (2)

The point $\mathbf{X}_{c}$ is projected to the image plane $\pi$ as point $\mathbf{U}_{c}$.


$$
\mathbf{U}_{c}=\left[\frac{-f x_{c}}{z_{c}}, \frac{-f y_{c}}{z_{c}},-f\right]^{T}, \quad \mathbf{U}_{0 a}=\left[u_{0}, v_{0}, 0\right]^{T}
$$

## CAMERA: $\mathcal{P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{2}$ (3)

Projected point in the 2D image plane $\pi$ in homogeneous co-ordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
U \\
V \\
W
\end{array}\right] & =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a & b & -u_{0} \\
0 & c & -v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{-f x_{c}}{z_{c}} \\
\frac{-f y_{c}}{z_{c}} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-f a & -f b & -u_{0} \\
0 & -f c & -v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{x_{c}}{z_{c}} \\
\frac{y_{c}}{z_{c}} \\
1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

2D Euclidean counterpart is $\mathbf{u}=[u, v]^{T}=\left[\frac{U}{W}, \frac{V}{W}\right]^{T}$.

## CALIBRATION MATRIX K

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{c} \tilde{\mathbf{u}} & =z_{c}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-f a & -f b & -u_{0} \\
0 & -f c & -v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{x_{c}}{z_{c}} \\
\frac{y_{c}}{z_{c}} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-f a & -f b & -u_{0} \\
0 & -f c & -v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{c} \\
y_{c} \\
z_{c}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
-f a & -f b & -u_{0} \\
0 & -f c & -v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] R\left(\mathbf{X}_{w}-\mathbf{t}\right)=K R\left(\mathbf{X}_{w}-\mathbf{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Calibration parameters: intrinsic (matrix $K$ ) vs. extrinsic (vector $\mathbf{t}$, matrix $R$ ).

## PROJECTION MATRIX M

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathbf{u}}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
U \\
V \\
W
\end{array}\right] & =\frac{1}{z_{c}} K R\left(\mathbf{X}_{w}-\mathbf{t}\right) \\
& =[K R \mid-K R \mathbf{t}]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X}_{w} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =M\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X}_{w} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =M \tilde{\mathbf{X}}_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

## SINGLE CAMERA CALIBRATION, overview

Intrinsic parameters only - seeking matrix $K$.
Intrinsic + extrinsic parameters - seeking matrix $M$.

1. Known scene: A set of $n$ non-degenerate (not co-planar) points in the 3D world (e.g., a calibration object), and the corresponding 2D image points are known.

Each correspondence between a 3D scene and 2D image point provides one equation

$$
\alpha_{j} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{j}=M\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X}_{j} \\
1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

2. Unknown scene: More views are needed to calibrate the camera. The intrinsic camera parameters will not change for different views, and the correspondence between image points in different views must be established.

## CALIBRATION FROM UNKNOWN SCENE (cont.)



1. Known camera motion: Three cases according to the known motion constraint:
(a) Both rotation and translation, general case.
(b) Pure rotation
(c) Pure translation, a linear solution proposed by [Pajdla, Hlaváč 1995].
2. Unknown camera motion: The most general case, sometimes called camera self-calibration. At least three views are needed and the solution is nonlinear. Numerically hard.

## CAMERA CALIBRATION FROM A KNOWN SCENE (1)



Typically a two stage process.

1. Estimate the projection matrix $M$ is estimated from the co-ordinates of points with known scene positions.
2. The extrinsic and intrinsic parameters are estimated from $M$.

Note: The second step is not always needed - the case of stereo vision is an example.

## CAMERA CALIBRATION FROM A KNOWN SCENE (2)

Each correspondence between scene point $\mathbf{X}=[x, y, z]^{T}$ and 2D image point $[u, v]^{T}$ gives one equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha u \\
\alpha v \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\
m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} \\
m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
x \\
y \\
z \\
1
\end{array}\right]} \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
\alpha u \\
\alpha v \\
\alpha
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
m_{11} x+m_{12} y+m_{13} z+m_{14} \\
m_{21} x+m_{22} y+m_{23} z+m_{24} \\
m_{31} x+m_{32} y+m_{33} z+m_{34}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

## CAMERA CALIBRATION FROM A KNOWN SCENE (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u\left(m_{31} x+m_{32} y+m_{33} z+m_{34}\right)=m_{11} x+m_{12} y+m_{13} z+m_{14} \\
& v\left(m_{31} x+m_{32} y+m_{33} z+m_{34}\right)=m_{21} x+m_{22} y+m_{23} z+m_{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

Two linear equations, each in 12 unknowns $m_{11}, \ldots, m_{34}$, for each known corresponding scene and image point (actually only 11 unknowns due to unknown scaling). 6 corresponding points needed, at least.

If $n$ such points are available, we can write it as a $2 n \times 12$ matrix.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
x & y & z & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -u x & -u y & -u z & -u \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x & y & z & 1 & -v x & -v y & -v z & -v \\
& & & & & & \vdots & & & & &
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{11} \\
m_{12} \\
\vdots \\
m_{34}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

Overconstraint linear system. Robust least squares. Result $=M$.

SVD is a linear algebra technique for solving linear equations in the least square sense. SVD works for singular matrices or matrices numerically close to singular. Contained, e.g., in MATLAB.

Any $m \times n$ matrix $A, m \geq n$ can be factorized as $A=U D V^{T}$.
$U$ has orthonormal columns, $D$ is non-negative diagonal, and $V^{T}$ has orthonormal rows.

SVD locates the closest possible solution in a least square sense.
Sometimes need for the 'closest' singular matrix to the original matrix $A$ this decreases the rank from $n$ to $n-1$. Replace the smallest diagonal element of $D$ by zero. This new matrix is the closest to the original one with respect to the Frobenius norm (which is calculated as a sum of the squared values of all matrix elements).

Given: projection matrix $M$
Output: rotation matrix $R$ and translation vector $\mathbf{t}$ ).

$$
M=[K R \mid-K R \mathbf{t}]=[A \mid \mathbf{b}]
$$

The $3 \times 3$ submatrix is denoted as $A$, and the rightmost column as $\mathbf{b}$.
Translation vector $\mathbf{t}$ is easy; $A=K R, \mathbf{t}=-A^{-1} \mathbf{b}$.
Rotation matrix $R$. Recall that the calibration matrix $K$ is upper triangular and the rotation matrix is orthogonal.
The QR factorization method or SVD will decompose $A$ into a product and hence recover $K$ and $R$.

## RADIAL DISTORTION AND DE-CENTERING
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Often modelled as rotationally symmetric by polynomials.
$u, v$ - correct image co-ordinates
$\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$ - measured uncorrected image co-ordinates
$\hat{u}_{0}, \hat{v}_{0}$ - estimate of the position of the principal point

$$
\tilde{u}=x-\hat{u}_{0}, \quad \tilde{v}=y-\hat{v}_{0}
$$

$$
u=\tilde{u}+\delta u, \quad v=\tilde{v}+\delta v
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta u & =\left(\tilde{u}-u_{p}\right)\left(\kappa_{1} r^{2}+\kappa_{2} r^{4}+\kappa_{3} r^{6}\right) \\
\delta v & =\left(\tilde{v}-v_{p}\right)\left(\kappa_{1} r^{2}+\kappa_{2} r^{4}+\kappa_{3} r^{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$r^{2}$ is the square of the radial distance from the center of the image.

$$
r^{2}=\left(\tilde{u}-u_{p}\right)^{2}+\left(\tilde{u}-u_{p}\right)^{2}
$$

$u_{p}, v_{p}$ are corrections to $\hat{u}_{0}, \hat{v}_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{0}=\hat{u}_{0}+u_{p} \\
& v_{0}=\hat{v}_{0}+v_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

## GEOMETRY OF 2 CAMERAS



Epipoles e, $\mathbf{e}^{\prime}$, epipolar lines $\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}^{\prime}$.
$\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}^{\prime}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}^{\prime}, C, C^{\prime}, \mathbf{X}$ lie in a single plane.
Epipolar geometry. Seeking correspondences between two 1D signals. Bilinear relation between $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u}^{\prime}$.

## FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX (1)

Left projection $\mathbf{u}$ and right projection $\mathbf{u}^{\prime}$ of the scene point $\mathbf{X}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} & \simeq[K \mid \mathbf{0}]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X} \\
1
\end{array}\right]=K \mathbf{X}, \\
\mathbf{u}^{\prime} & \simeq\left[K^{\prime} R \mid-K^{\prime} R \mathbf{t}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{X} \\
1
\end{array}\right] \\
& =K^{\prime}(R \mathbf{X}-R \mathbf{t})=K^{\prime} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Coplanarity of $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{t}$.
Distinguish co-ordinates of the left and right cameras by the subscript ${ }_{L}, R$. Vector product $\times$.

## FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX (2)

Coordinates rotation
$\mathbf{X}_{R}^{\prime}=R \mathbf{X}_{L}^{\prime}$, and hence $\mathbf{X}_{L}^{\prime}=R^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{R}^{\prime}$.
Coplanarity constraint $\mathbf{X}_{L}^{T}\left(\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{X}_{L}^{\prime}\right)=0$.
Preparing for substitution
$\mathbf{X}_{L}=K^{-1} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{X}_{R}^{\prime}=\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{u}^{\prime}$, and $\mathbf{X}_{L}^{\prime}=R^{-1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{u}^{\prime}$.
Epipolar constraint in vector form

$$
\left(K^{-1} \mathbf{u}\right)^{T}\left(\mathbf{t} \times R^{-1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

Equation is homogeneous with respect to $\mathbf{t}$, so the scale is not determined.
Absolute scale cannot be recovered without 'yardstick'.

## FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX (3)

Replacement of a vector product by a matrix multiplication.
The translation vector is $\mathbf{t}=\left[t_{x}, t_{y}, t_{z}\right]^{T}$, and a skew symmetric matrix $S(\mathbf{t})$ (i.e., $S^{T}=-S$ ) can be created from it if $\mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

$$
S(\mathbf{t})=\left[\begin{array}{rcc}
0 & -t_{z} & t_{y} \\
t_{z} & 0 & -t_{x} \\
-t_{y} & t_{x} & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Note that $\operatorname{rank}(S)=2$ if and only if $\mathbf{t} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

## FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX (4)

The vector product can be replaced by the multiplication of two matrices.
For any regular matrix $A$, we have

$$
\mathbf{t} \times A=S(\mathbf{t}) A
$$

Thus we can rewrite the epipolar constraint in a vector form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(K^{-1} \mathbf{u}\right)^{T}\left(S(\mathbf{t}) R^{-1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{u}^{\prime}\right)=0 \\
& \mathbf{u}^{T}\left(K^{-1}\right)^{T} S(\mathbf{t}) R^{-1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{u}^{\prime}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## FUNDAMENTAL MATRIX (5)

The middle part can be concentrated into a single matrix $F$ called the fundamental matrix of two views,

$$
F=\left(K^{-1}\right)^{T} S(\mathbf{t}) R^{-1}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{-1} .
$$

With the substitution for $F$ we finally get the bilinear relation (sometimes named after Longuet-Higgins) between any two views

$$
\mathbf{u}^{T} F \mathbf{u}^{\prime}=0
$$

It can be seen that the fundamental matrix $F$ captures all information that can be recovered from a pair of images if the correspondence problem is solved.
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