

On a representation of deterministic uniform root-to-frontier tree transformations

By F. GÉCSEG

The concepts of products and complete systems of finite automata can be generalized for ascending algebras in a natural way (see [4]). Results in finite automata theory imply that for most types of products there are no finite complete systems of ascending algebras. Therefore, it is reasonable to investigate a weaker form of completeness to be called m -completeness when tree transformations are represented up to a finite but not bounded height. In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions under which a system of ascending algebras is m -complete for the class of all deterministic uniform root-to-frontier tree transformations with respect to different kinds of products. Moreover, we show the existence of such finite m -complete systems.

1. Notions and notations

The terms "node of a tree" and "subtree at a given node of a tree" will be used in an informal and obvious way.

The symbol R will stand for a nonvoid finite rank type with $0 \notin R$.

By a *path* of rank type R we mean a word over $U(R) = \cup\{(m, 1), \dots, (m, m)\} \mid m \in R$. The set of all paths with rank type R will be denoted by $\text{pt}(R)$.

Take a ranked alphabet Σ of rank type R , a tree $p \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$ and a path $u \in \text{pt}(R)$. Then the *realization* $u(p)$ of u in p (if it exists) is defined in the following way:

1. if $u=e$ then $u(p)=e$ and u ends in p at the root of p ,
2. if $u=u_1(m, i)$, $u_1(p)$ exists, u_1 ends in p at the node d of p labelled by σ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_m$ then $u(p)=u_1(p)(\sigma, i)$ and u ends in p at the i^{th} descendent of d .

For $U \subseteq \text{pt}(R)$ and $T \subseteq F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$ ($n \geq 1$) let $U(T) = \{u(p) \mid u \in U, p \in T\}$. One can easily see, that for arbitrary $n \geq 1$, $\text{pt}(R)(F_{\Sigma}(X_n)) = U(\Sigma)^*$, where $U(\Sigma) = \cup\{(\sigma, 1), \dots, (\sigma, m) \mid \sigma \in \Sigma_m, m > 0\}$.

Let Σ be an operator domain with $\Sigma_0 = \emptyset$. A (deterministic) *ascending Σ -algebra* \mathcal{A} is a pair consisting of a nonempty set A and a mapping that assigns

to every operator $\sigma \in \Sigma$ an m -ary ascending operation $\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}: A \rightarrow A^m$, where m is the arity of σ . The mapping $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ will not be mentioned explicitly, but we write $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$. If Σ is not specified then we speak about an ascending algebra. The ascending Σ -algebra \mathcal{A} is finite if both A and Σ are finite. Moreover, \mathcal{A} has rank type R if Σ is of rank type R . The class of all finite ascending Σ -algebras of rank type R will be denoted by $K(R)$. If there is no danger of confusion then we omit \mathcal{A} in $\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$.

In this paper by an algebra we mean a finite deterministic ascending algebra.

A (*deterministic*) *root-to-frontier* ΣX_n -recognizer or a $(D)R\Sigma X_n$ -recognizer, for short, is a system $\mathbf{A} = (\mathcal{A}, a_0, X_n, \mathbf{a})$, where

- (1) $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$ is a finite Σ -algebra,
- (2) $a_0 \in A$ is the *initial state*,
- (3) $\mathbf{a} = (A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)}) \in P(A)^n$ is the *final-state vector*.

Next we recall the concept of a tree transducer.

A *root-to-frontier tree transducer* (R -transducer) is a system $\mathfrak{U} = (\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, Y_m, A', P)$, where

- (1) Σ and Ω are ranked alphabets,
 - (2) X_n and Y_m are the *frontier alphabets*,
 - (3) A is a ranked alphabet consisting of unary operators, the *state set* of \mathfrak{U} .
- (It is assumed that A is disjoint with all other sets in the definition of \mathfrak{U} , except A' .)
- (4) $A' \subseteq A$ is the set of *initial states*,
 - (5) P is a finite set of *productions* of the following two types:
 - (i) $ax_i \rightarrow q$ ($a \in A, x_i \in X_n, q \in F_\Omega(Y_m)$),
 - (ii) $a\sigma \rightarrow q$ ($a \in A, \sigma \in \Sigma_l, l \geq 0, q \in F_\Omega(Y_m \cup A\Xi_l)$). ($\Xi = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots\}$ is the set of auxiliary variables.)

The *transformation* induced by \mathfrak{U} will be denoted by $\tau_{\mathfrak{U}}$.

The R -transducer \mathfrak{U} is *deterministic* if $A' = \{a_0\}$ is a singleton and there are no distinct productions in P with the same left side. Moreover, the R -transducer \mathfrak{U} is *uniform* if each production $a\sigma \rightarrow q$ ($a \in A, \sigma \in \Sigma_l, l \geq 0, q \in F_\Omega(Y_m \cup A\Xi_l)$) can be written in the form $a\sigma \rightarrow \bar{q}(a_1\xi_1, \dots, a_l\xi_l)$ for some $\bar{q} \in F_\Omega(Y_m \cup \Xi_l)$. In this paper by a transducer we shall mean a deterministic uniform R -transducer. One can easily see that for every transducer $\mathfrak{U} = (\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, Y_m, a_0, P)$ there exists a transducer $\mathfrak{B} = (\Sigma, X_n, B, \Omega', Y_m, b_0, P')$ such that (i) for arbitrary $b \in B$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_m$ with $m > 0$ there is exactly one production in P' with left side $b\sigma$, and (ii) $\tau_{\mathfrak{B}} = \tau_{\mathfrak{U}}$. In the sequel we shall confine ourselves to transducers having property (i) and $\Sigma_0 = \emptyset$.

To a transducer $\mathfrak{U} = (\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, Y_m, a_0, P)$ we can correspond an $R\Sigma X_n$ -recognizer $\mathbf{A} = (\mathcal{A}, a_0, X_n, \mathbf{a})$ with $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)})$, where

(1) for arbitrary $l \geq 0, \sigma \in \Sigma_l, a \in A$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in A^l$ if $(a_1, \dots, a_l) = \sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ then $a\sigma \rightarrow q(a_1\xi_1, \dots, a_l\xi_l) \in P$ for some $q \in F_\Omega(Y_m \cup \Xi_l)$,

(2) $a \in A^{(i)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) if and only if $ax_i \rightarrow q \in P$ for some $q \in F_\Omega(Y_m)$.

The class of all recognizers obtained from \mathfrak{U} in the above way will be denoted by $\text{rec}(\mathfrak{U})$.

Now take an $R\Sigma X_n$ -recognizer $\mathbf{A}=(\mathcal{A}, a_0, X_n, \mathbf{a})$ with $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma)$ and $\mathbf{a}=(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)})$. Define a transducer $\mathfrak{A}=(\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, Y_m, a_0, P)$ by

$$P = \{ax_i \rightarrow q^{(a,i)} \mid a \in A^{(i)}, q^{(a,i)} \in F_{\Omega}(Y_m), i = 1, \dots, n\} \cup \\ \cup \{a\sigma \rightarrow q^{(a,\sigma)}(a_1 \xi_1, \dots, a_l \xi_l) \mid a \in A, \sigma \in \Sigma_l, l > 0, \\ (a_1, \dots, a_l) = \sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a), q^{(a,\sigma)} \in F_{\Omega}(Y_m \cup \Xi_l)\},$$

where the ranked alphabet Ω , the integer m and the trees on the right sides of the productions in P are fixed arbitrarily. Denote by $\text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ the class of all transducers obtained from \mathbf{A} in the above way. Obviously, for arbitrary transducer \mathfrak{A} and $\mathbf{A} \in \text{rec}(\mathfrak{A})$ the inclusion $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ holds. Therefore, we have

Statement 1. For every transducer \mathfrak{A} there exists a recognizer \mathbf{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$.

Next we recall the concept of a product of ascending algebras (see [4]).

Let $\Sigma, \Sigma^1, \dots, \Sigma^k$ be ranked alphabets of rank type R , and consider the Σ^i -algebras $\mathcal{A}_i=(A_i, \Sigma^i)$ ($i=1, \dots, k$). Furthermore, let

$$\psi = \{\psi_m : A_1 \times \dots \times A_k \times \Sigma_m \rightarrow \Sigma_m^1 \times \dots \times \Sigma_m^k \mid m \in R\}$$

be a family of mappings. Then by the *product* of $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k$ with respect to ψ we mean the Σ -algebra $\psi(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k, \Sigma) = \mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$ with $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_k$ and for arbitrary $m \in R, \sigma \in \Sigma_m$ and $\mathbf{a} \in A$

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{a}) = ((\text{pr}_1(\sigma_1^{\mathcal{A}^1}(\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{a}))), \dots, \text{pr}_1(\sigma_k^{\mathcal{A}^k}(\text{pr}_k(\mathbf{a})))), \dots \\ \dots, (\text{pr}_m(\sigma_1^{\mathcal{A}^1}(\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{a}))), \dots, \text{pr}_m(\sigma_k^{\mathcal{A}^k}(\text{pr}_k(\mathbf{a}))))),$$

where $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = \psi_m(\mathbf{a}, \sigma)$ and $\text{pr}_i(\mathbf{a})$ ($1 \leq i \leq k$) denotes the i^{th} component of \mathbf{a} .

To define special types of products let us write ψ_m in the form $\psi_m = (\psi_m^{(1)}, \dots, \psi_m^{(k)})$ where for arbitrary $\mathbf{a} \in A$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_m, \psi_m(\mathbf{a}, \sigma) = (\psi_m^{(1)}(\mathbf{a}, \sigma), \dots, \psi_m^{(k)}(\mathbf{a}, \sigma))$. We say that \mathcal{A} is an α_i -product ($i=0, 1, \dots$) if for arbitrary j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) and $m \in R, \psi_m^{(j)}$ is independent of its u^{th} component if $i+j \leq u \leq k$. If $\Sigma^1 = \dots = \Sigma^k = \Sigma$ and $\psi_m(\mathbf{a}, \sigma) = (\sigma, \dots, \sigma)$ for arbitrary $m \in R, \sigma \in \Sigma_m$ and $\mathbf{a} \in A$ then \mathcal{A} is the *direct product* of $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k$. In the case of an α_i -product in $\psi_m^{(j)}$ we shall indicate only those variables on which $\psi_m^{(j)}$ may depend.

One can see easily that the formation of the product, α_0 -product and direct product is associative. (This is not true for the α_i -product with $i > 0$.)

Let $\mathfrak{A}=(\Sigma, X_u, A, \Omega, Y_v, a_0, P)$ and $\mathfrak{B}=(\Sigma, X_u, B, \Omega, Y_v, b_0, P')$ be two transducers and $m \geq 0$ an integer. We write $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}$ if $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}}(p) = \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}(p)$ for every $p \in F_{\Sigma}^m(X_u)$, where $F_{\Sigma}^m(X_u)$ denotes the set of all trees from $F_{\Sigma}(X_u)$ with height less than or equal to m .

Take a class K of algebras of rank type R . We say that K is *metrically complete* (m -complete, for short) with respect to the product (α_i -product) if for arbitrary transducer $\mathfrak{A}=(\Sigma, X_u, A, \Omega, Y_v, a_0, P)$ and integer $m \geq 0$ there exist a product (α_i -product) $\mathfrak{B}=(B, \Sigma)$ of algebras from K , an element $b_0 \in B$ and a vector $\mathbf{b} \in P(B)^u$ such that $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}$ for some $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{tr}(\mathbf{B})$, where $\mathbf{B}=(\mathfrak{B}, b_0, X_u, \mathbf{b})$.

Let $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma)$ be an arbitrary algebra from $K(R)$. We correspond to \mathcal{A} a semiautomaton $s(\mathcal{A})=(I_{\mathcal{A}}, A, \delta_{\mathcal{A}})$, where $I_{\mathcal{A}}=U(\Sigma)$ and for arbitrary $a \in A$ and $(\sigma, i) \in I_{\mathcal{A}}, \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, (\sigma, i)) = \text{pr}_i(\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{a}))$.

Take a Σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma) \in K(R)$, an element $a \in A$ and an integer $m \geq 0$. We say that the system (\mathcal{A}, a) is m -free if the initial semiautomaton $s(\mathcal{A}, a) = (I_{\mathcal{A}}, A, a, \delta_{\mathcal{A}})$ is m -free. (For the definition of m -free semiautomata, see [1]. In [1] initial semiautomata are called initial automata. Moreover, here it is not supposed that $s(\mathcal{A}, a)$ is connected.)

For the system (\mathcal{A}, a) and integer $m \geq 0$ set $A_a^{(m)} = \{\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, p) \mid p \in I_{\mathcal{A}}^*, |p| \leq m\}$, where $|p|$ denotes the length of p . Moreover, $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, e) = a$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, p(\sigma, i)) = \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, p), (\sigma, i))$ ($p \in I_{\mathcal{A}}^*, (\sigma, i) \in I_{\mathcal{A}}$).

Let (\mathcal{A}, a) and (\mathcal{B}, b) be two systems with $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma), \mathcal{B}=(B, \Sigma) \in K(R)$. A mapping φ of $A_a^{(m)}$ onto $B_b^{(m)}$ is an m -homomorphism of (\mathcal{A}, a) onto (\mathcal{B}, b) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\varphi(a) = b$,
- (2) $\varphi(\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a')) = \sigma^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi(a'))$ ($a' \in A_a^{(m-1)}, \sigma \in \Sigma_l, l > 0$).

If the above φ is also one-to-one then we speak about an m -isomorphism and say that (\mathcal{A}, a) and (\mathcal{B}, b) are m -isomorphic. In notation, $(\mathcal{A}, a) \stackrel{m}{\cong} (\mathcal{B}, b)$. One can easily prove the following statements.

Statement 2. Let $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma), \mathcal{B}=(B, \Sigma) \in K(R)$ and $a \in A, b \in B$ be arbitrary. For an integer $m \geq 0$, (\mathcal{B}, b) is an m -homomorphic image of (\mathcal{A}, a) if and only if $s(\mathcal{B}, b)$ is an m -homomorphic image of $s(\mathcal{A}, a)$.

Statement 3. Let (\mathcal{A}, a) and (\mathcal{B}, b) be the systems of Statement 2. For arbitrary $m \geq 0$,

- (1) if (\mathcal{A}, a) is m -free then (\mathcal{B}, b) is an m -homomorphic image of (\mathcal{A}, a) ,
- (2) if (\mathcal{A}, a) is m -free and m -isomorphic to (\mathcal{B}, b) then (\mathcal{B}, b) is also m -free, and
- (3) if both (\mathcal{A}, a) and (\mathcal{B}, b) are m -free then they are m -isomorphic.

The next statement is also obvious.

Statement 4. Take two systems (\mathcal{A}, a) and (\mathcal{B}, b) ($\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma), \mathcal{B}=(B, \Sigma) \in K(R)$, $a \in A, b \in B$). Moreover, let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. If (\mathcal{B}, b) is an m -homomorphic image of (\mathcal{A}, a) then for arbitrary $u \geq 0, \mathbf{b} \in P(B)^u, \mathbf{B}=(\mathcal{B}, b, X_u, \mathbf{b})$ and $\mathfrak{B}=(\Sigma, X_u, B, \Omega, Y_u, b, P') \in \text{tr}(\mathbf{B})$ there exist an $\mathbf{a} \in P(A)^u$, an $\mathbf{A}=(\mathcal{A}, a, X_u, \mathbf{a})$ and an $\mathfrak{A}=(\Sigma, X_u, A, \Omega, Y_u, a, P) \in \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ such that $\tau_{\mathfrak{B}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Let (\mathcal{A}, a) be a system with $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma) \in K(R)$ and $a \in A$ an element. We say that for an integer $m \geq 0$ the algebra $\mathcal{B}=(B, \Sigma)$ m -isomorphically represents (\mathcal{A}, a) if there exists a $b \in B$ such that $(\mathcal{A}, a) \stackrel{m}{\cong} (\mathcal{B}, b)$.

The α_i -product and the α_j -product ($i, j \geq 0$) will be called *metrically equivalent* (m -equivalent) provided that a system of algebras is m -complete with respect to the α_i -product if and only if it is m -complete with respect to the α_j -product. The m -equivalence between an α_i -product and the product is defined similarly.

Finally, we shall suppose that every finite index set $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ is given together with a (fixed) ordering of its elements. Furthermore, for arbitrary system $\{a_i \mid i_j \in I\}$, $(a_i \mid i_j \in I)$ is the vector $(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_k})$ if $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ is the ordering of I .

For terminology not defined here, see [2] and [3].

2. Metrically complete systems

In this section we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a system of ascending algebras to be m -complete with respect to the α_i -products ($i=0, 1, \dots$) and the product. We shall see that the α_i -products are m -equivalent to each other and they are m -equivalent to the product.

We start with

Theorem 1. A system $K \subseteq K(R)$ is m -complete with respect to the product (α_i -product) if and only if for every $m \geq 0$ each m -free system (\mathcal{A}, a) with $\mathcal{A} \in K(R)$ can be represented m -isomorphically by a product (α_i -product) of algebras from K .

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious by Statements 3 and 4.

To prove the necessity take an arbitrary m -free system (\mathcal{A}, a_0) with $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma) \in K(R)$. Consider the transducer $\mathfrak{U} = (\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, A \times X_n, a_0, P)$, where $n > 1$ is an arbitrary natural number, $\Omega_l = A \times \Sigma_l$ ($l > 0$) and P consists of the following productions:

- (1) $ax_i \rightarrow (a, x_i)$ ($a \in A, x_i \in X_n$),
- (2) $a\sigma \rightarrow (a, \sigma)$ ($a_1\xi_1, \dots, a_l\xi_l$) ($a \in A, \sigma \in \Sigma, l > 0, \sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_l)$).

Let $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \Sigma)$ be a product (α_i -product) of algebras from K such that for a $\mathfrak{B} = (\Sigma, X_n, B, \Omega, A \times X_n, b_0, P') \in \text{tr}(\mathbf{B})$ we have $\tau_{\mathfrak{U}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}$, where $\mathbf{B} = (\mathfrak{B}, b_0, X_n, \mathbf{b})$ ($b_0 \in B, \mathbf{b} \in P(\mathbf{B})^n$). We show that (\mathfrak{B}, b_0) is m -free. This, by Statement 3, will imply that $(\mathcal{A}, a_0) \stackrel{m}{\cong} (\mathfrak{B}, b_0)$.

First of all observe that \mathfrak{U} is a totally defined, linear, nondeleting transducer inducing a one-to-one transformation. Moreover, in a tree $\tau_{\mathfrak{U}}(p)$ with $h(p) \leq m$ no subtree occurs more than once. Therefore, by $\tau_{\mathfrak{U}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}$, all productions occurring in a derivation $b_0 p \Rightarrow^* q$ ($p \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n), q \in F_{\Omega}(X_n \times A)$) with $h(p) \leq m$ are linear and nondeleting. Thus, we have the following relation between derivations in \mathfrak{U} and \mathfrak{B} . Let $u \in \text{pt}(R)$ be a path with $|u| \leq m$. Take a tree $p \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$ with $h(p) \leq m$, and assume that $u(p)$ is defined, it ends in p at the node d , p' is the subtree of p at d , $\bar{p}(\xi_1)$ is obtained from p by replacing the occurrence of p' at d by ξ_1 , $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(p)) = a$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_0, u(p)) = b$. Then the following derivations are valid:

$$a_0 p = a_0 \bar{p}(p') \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{U}}^* q_1(ap') \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{U}}^* q_1(q') = q$$

and

$$b_0 p = b_0 \bar{p}(p') \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_2(bp') \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_2(q'') = q,$$

where $a_0 \bar{p}(\xi_1) \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{U}}^* q_1(a\xi_1)$, $b_0 \bar{p}(\xi_1) \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_2(b\xi_1)$ ($q_1, q_2 \in F_{\Omega}(A \times X_n \cup \xi_1)$) and $ap' \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{U}}^* q'$, $bp' \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q''$ ($q', q'' \in F_{\Omega}(A \times X_n)$). (Observe that ξ_1 occurs exactly once in q_1 and q_2 .) Furthermore, if $v_1 \in \text{pt}(R)$ is the path such that $v_1(q_1)$ ends in q_1 at the node labelled by ξ_1 and $v_2 \in \text{pt}(R)$ is the path for which $v_2(q_2)$ ends in q_2 at the node labelled by ξ_1 then $v_2(q_2)$ is a subword of $v_1(q_1)$.

Now assume that (\mathfrak{B}, b_0) is not m -free, that is there are two distinct words $u, v \in I_{\mathfrak{B}}^*$ ($= I_{\Sigma}^*$) such that $|u|, |v| \leq m$ and $\delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_0, u) = \delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_0, v) = b$. Let $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \text{pt}(R)$ be paths and $p_1, p_2 \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$ trees such that $\bar{u}(p_1) = u, \bar{v}(p_2) = v, h(p_1), h(p_2) \leq m$, u ends in p_1 at the node d_1 and v ends in p_2 at the node d_2 . Replace in p_1 and p_2 the subtrees at d_1 resp. d_2 by x_1 , and denote by \bar{p}_1 resp. \bar{p}_2 the resulting

trees. Moreover, let $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u) = a_1$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, v) = a_2$. (Note that $a_1 \neq a_2$ since $u \neq v$ and (\mathcal{A}, a_0) is m -free.) Then, by the choice of \mathfrak{A} , if $q_1, q_2 \in F_{\Omega}(A \times X_n)$ are obtained by the derivations $a_0 \bar{p}_1 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}}^* q_1$ and $a_0 \bar{p}_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}}^* q_2$ then $\bar{u}(q_1)$ ends in q_1 at a node labelled by (a_1, x_1) and $\bar{v}(q_2)$ ends in q_2 at a node labelled by (a_2, x_1) .

Moreover, by $\tau_{\mathfrak{B}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{A}}, b_0 \bar{p}_1 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_1$ and $b_0 \bar{p}_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_2$ hold also. From this, taking into consideration our observation concerning the relation between derivations in \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} , we get that at the ends of $\bar{u}(q_1)$ and $\bar{v}(q_2)$ the same label should occur which is a contradiction.

The next theorem gives necessary conditions for a system of ascending algebras to be m -complete with respect to the product.

Theorem 2. Let $K \subseteq K(R)$ be a system which is m -complete with respect to the product. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) for arbitrary integer $m \geq 0$, path $\bar{u} \in \text{pt}(R)$ with $|\bar{u}| = m, \text{rank } l \in R$ and natural number $1 \leq i \leq l$ there exist an $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma') \in K$, an $a_0 \in A, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma'_i$ and a $u \in \bar{u}(F_{\Sigma'}(X_1))$ such that $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma_1, i)) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma_2, i))$,

(ii) for arbitrary integer $m \geq 0$, path $\bar{u} \in \text{pt}(R)$ with $|\bar{u}| = m, \text{rank } l \in R (l > 1)$ and integers $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ there exist an $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma) \in K$, an $a_0 \in A, a \sigma \in \Sigma_i$ and a $u \in \bar{u}(F_{\Sigma}(X_1))$ such that $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma, i)) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma, j))$.

Proof. We start with the necessity of (i). Assume that there are $m \geq 0, \bar{u} \in \text{pt}(R)$ with $|\bar{u}| = m, l \in R$ and $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that for arbitrary $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma') \in K, a_0 \in A, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma'_i$ and $u \in \bar{u}(F_{\Sigma'}(X_1))$ the equation $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma_1, i)) = \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma_2, i))$ holds. Take a ranked alphabet Σ of rank type R such that Σ_i contains two distinct elements σ and σ' . Moreover, consider a product $\mathcal{B} = (B, \Sigma) = \psi(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k, \Sigma)$ ($\mathcal{A}_i = (A_i, \Sigma^i) \in K, i = 1, \dots, k$) and an element $b_0 \in B$. We show that the system (\mathcal{B}, b_0) is not $(m+1)$ -free.

First of all let us introduce a notation. Consider the above product \mathcal{B} and define the mappings $\psi^i: B \times F_{\Sigma}(X_n) \rightarrow F_{\Sigma^i}(X_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k; n \geq 0$) in the following way: for arbitrary $b \in B$ and $p \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$

- (1) if $p = x_j (1 \leq j \leq n)$ then $\psi^i(b, p) = x_j$,
- (2) if $p = \sigma(p_1, \dots, p_l)$ then $\psi^i(b, p) = \sigma_i(\psi^i(b_1, p_1), \dots, \psi^i(b_l, p_l))$, where $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = \psi_i(b, \sigma)$ and $(b_1, \dots, b_l) = \sigma^{\mathcal{B}}(b)$.

One can see easily that for arbitrary $b \in B, p \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$ and $\bar{u} \in \text{pt}(R)$ the equation $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b, \bar{u}(p)) = (\delta_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\text{pr}_1(b), \bar{u}(\psi^1(b, p))), \dots, \delta_{\mathcal{A}_k}(\text{pr}_k(b), \bar{u}(\psi^k(b, p))))$ holds.

Now take two trees $p, q \in F_{\Sigma}(X_1)$ such that $(\bar{u}(l, i))(p) = u(\sigma, i)$ and $(\bar{u}(l, i))(q) = u(\sigma', i)$. For every $j (= 1, \dots, k)$ let $(\bar{u}(l, i))(\psi^j(b_0, p)) = u_j(\sigma^{(j)}, i)$ and $(\bar{u}(l, i))(\psi^j(b_0, q)) = v_j(\bar{\sigma}^{(j)}, i)$. By the definition of the product, the equations $u_j = v_j (j = 1, \dots, k)$ obviously hold. Moreover,

$$\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_0, u(\sigma, i)) = (\delta_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\text{pr}_1(b_0), u_1(\sigma^{(1)}, i)), \dots, \delta_{\mathcal{A}_k}(\text{pr}_k(b_0), u_k(\sigma^{(k)}, i)))$$

and

$$\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_0, u(\sigma', i)) = (\delta_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\text{pr}_1(b_0), u_1(\bar{\sigma}^{(1)}, i)), \dots, \delta_{\mathcal{A}_k}(\text{pr}_k(b_0), u_k(\bar{\sigma}^{(k)}, i))).$$

But, by our assumptions, $\delta_{\mathcal{A}_j}(\text{pr}_j(b_0), u_j(\sigma^{(j)}, i)) = \delta_{\mathcal{A}_j}(\text{pr}_j(b_0), u_j(\bar{\sigma}^{(j)}, i))$ for every $j (1 \leq j \leq k)$, i.e., $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_0, u(\sigma, i)) = \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(b_0, u(\sigma', i))$. Therefore, (\mathcal{B}, b_0) is not $(m+1)$ -free which, by Theorem 1, implies that K is not m -complete with respect to the product.

The necessity of (ii) can be shown in a similar way.

Theorem 3. If a system $K \subseteq K(R)$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 2 then K is m -complete with respect to the α_0 -product.

Proof. Let Σ be a fixed ranked alphabet of rank type R . We shall show by induction on m that for every integer $m \geq 0$ there are an α_0 -product $\mathcal{B} = (B, \Sigma)$ of algebras from K and an element $\mathbf{b} \in B$ such that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{b})$ is m -free. This, by Theorem 1, will end the proof of Theorem 3.

If $m=0$ then our claim is obviously valid. Let us suppose that our statement has been proved for an $m \geq 0$, and take a product $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$ of algebras from K and an element $a \in A$ such that (\mathcal{A}, a) is m -free. By our assumption, for every $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_1(l, i)$ ($\bar{u}_1 \in \text{pt}(R)$, $l \in R$, $1 \leq i \leq l$) there are an $\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})} = (A^{(\bar{u})}, \Sigma^{(\bar{u})}) \in K$, an $a^{(\bar{u})} \in A^{(\bar{u})}$, two operators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_1^{(\bar{u})}$ and a $u_1 \in \bar{u}_1(F_{\Sigma}(X_1))$ such that $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})}}(a^{(\bar{u})}, u_1(\sigma_1, i)) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})}}(a^{(\bar{u})}, u_1(\sigma_2, i))$. Moreover, for arbitrary $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_1(l, i)$, $\bar{v} = \bar{u}_1(l, j)$ ($\bar{u}_1 \in \text{pt}(R)$, $l \in R$, $l > 1$, $1 \leq i < j \leq l$) there are an $\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})} = (A^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}, \Sigma^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})})$, an $a^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})} \in A^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}$, a $u_1 \in \bar{u}_1(F_{\Sigma}(X_1))$ and a $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_1^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}$ such that $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}}(a^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}, u_1(\bar{\sigma}, i)) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}}(a^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}, u_1(\bar{\sigma}, j))$. Consider an index set I consisting of all pairs (u, v) where $u, v \in U(\Sigma)^*$, $u \neq v$, $|u| = m+1$ and $|v| \leq m+1$. For the pair (u, v) with $u = u'(\sigma, i) \in \bar{u}(F_{\Sigma}(X_1))$ and $v = v'(\sigma^*, j)$ if $u' \neq v'$ or $\sigma \neq \sigma^*$ take the α_0 -product $\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)} = \psi^{(u, v)}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})}, \Sigma) = (A^{(u, v)}, \Sigma)$, where $\psi^{(u, v)}$ is defined in the following way. For every $s \in R$, $\psi_s^{(u, v)(1)}$ is the identity mapping on Σ_s . If $w = w_1(\sigma', j)$ ($\sigma' \in \Sigma_k$) is a proper subword of u' and $w' = w'_1(\sigma'', j)$ is the subword of u_1 with $|w'| = |w|$ then let

$$\psi_k^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, w_1), \sigma') = \sigma''.$$

In all other cases, except $\psi_s^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, u'), \sigma)$, $\psi_s^{(u, v)(2)}(s \in R)$ is given arbitrarily in accordance with the definition of the α_0 -product. Since $u' \neq v'$ or $\sigma \neq \sigma^*$ and (\mathcal{A}, a) is m -free $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}((a, a^{(\bar{u})}), v)$ is defined. Now let

$$\psi_l^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, u'), \sigma) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1 & \text{if } \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}((a, a^{(\bar{u})}), v) = (a_1, a_2) \\ \text{and } \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})}}(a^{(\bar{u})}, u_1(\sigma_1, i)) \neq a_2 \\ \sigma_2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $(\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}, a^{(u, v)})$ with $a^{(u, v)} = (a, a^{(\bar{u})})$ is m -free and $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}(a^{(u, v)}, u) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}(a^{(u, v)}, v)$.

Now assume that $u' = v'$ and $\sigma = \sigma^*$; that is $u = u'(\sigma, i) \in \bar{u}(F_{\Sigma}(X_1))$ and $v = u'(\sigma, j) \in \bar{v}(F_{\Sigma}(X_1))$. Take the α_0 -product $\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)} = \psi^{(u, v)}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}, \Sigma) = (A^{(u, v)}, \Sigma)$, where $\psi^{(u, v)}$ is given as follows. Again for every $s \in R$, $\psi_s^{(u, v)(1)}$ is the identity mapping on Σ_s . If $w = w_1(\sigma', t)$ ($\sigma' \in \Sigma_k$) is a proper subword of u' and $w' = w'_1(\sigma'', t)$ is the subword of u_1 with $|w'| = |w|$ then let $\psi_k^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, w_1), \sigma') = \sigma''$. Moreover, $\psi_l^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, u'), \sigma) = \bar{\sigma}$. In any other cases $\psi_s^{(u, v)(2)}(s \in R)$ is given arbitrarily in accordance with the definition of the α_0 -product. Since (\mathcal{A}, a) is m -free $\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}$ is well defined. Again, $(\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}, a^{(u, v)})$ with $a^{(u, v)} = (a, a^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})})$ is m -free and $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}(a^{(u, v)}, u) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}(a^{(u, v)}, v)$.

Finally, take the direct product $\mathcal{B} = (B, \Sigma) = \Pi(\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)} | (u, v) \in I)$ and the vector $\mathbf{b} = (a^{(u, v)} | (u, v) \in I)$. Then $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{b})$ is $(m+1)$ -free. Indeed, for two different words $u, v \in U(\Sigma)^*$ if $|u|, |v| < m+1$ then $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}, u) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}, v)$ since they differ in all of their components, and if $|u| = m+1$ and $|v| \leq m+1$ then $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}, u)$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}, v)$

are different at least in their $(u, v)^{\text{th}}$ components. Since the direct product is a special α_0 -product and the formation of the α_0 -product is associative \mathcal{B} is an α_0 -product of algebras from K .

From Theorems 2 and 3 we get

Corollary 4. For arbitrary $i, j \geq 0$ the α_i -product and the α_j -product are m -equivalent to each other and they are m -equivalent to the product.

We now give an algorithm to decide for a finite $K \subseteq K(R)$ whether K is m -complete with respect to the product.

Take an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma) \in K$. For arbitrary $l \in R$ and $1 \leq i \leq l$ set $A^{(l,i)} = \{a \in A \mid \text{pr}_i(\sigma_1^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \neq \text{pr}_i(\sigma_2^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \text{ for some } \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_l\}$. Moreover, for every $a \in A$ let $L_a^{(l,i)}$ be the language recognized by the automaton $\mathcal{A}_a^{(l,i)} = (I_{\mathcal{A}}, A, a, \delta_{\mathcal{A}}, A^{(l,i)})$. Furthermore, let $L_{\mathcal{A}}^{(l,i)} = \cup \{L_a^{(l,i)} \mid a \in A\}$ and $L^{(l,i)} = \cup \{L_{\mathcal{A}}^{(l,i)} \mid \mathcal{A} \in K\}$. For arbitrary $l \in R$ ($l > 1$) and $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ define $L^{(l,i,j)}$ in a similar way with $A^{(l,i,j)} = \{a \in A \mid \text{pr}_i(\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \neq \text{pr}_j(\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \text{ for some } \sigma \in \Sigma_l\}$ instead of $A^{(l,i)}$. Finally, denote by $\bar{\Sigma}$ the union of all ranked alphabets belonging to algebras from K , and take the language homomorphism $\varphi: U(\bar{\Sigma})^* \rightarrow U(R)^*$ given by $\varphi(\sigma, i) = (k, i)$ ($\sigma \in \bar{\Sigma}, r(\sigma) = k$), where $r(\sigma)$ denotes the rank of σ . Then, by Theorems 2 and 3, K is m -complete with respect to the product if and only if

- (1) for arbitrary $l \in R$ and $1 \leq i \leq l$, $\varphi(L^{(l,i)}) = U(R)^*$,
- (2) for arbitrary $l \in R$ ($l > 1$) and $1 \leq i < j \leq l$, $\varphi(L^{(l,i,j)}) = U(R)^*$.

The validity of these equations is decidable effectively.

Finally, for a given rank type R we give a one-element system which is m -complete with respect to the product. Let Σ be a ranked alphabet of rank type R such that for every $l \in R$, $\Sigma_l = \{\sigma_1^{(l)}, \sigma_2^{(l)}\}$. Assume that the greatest natural number in R is n . Take the Σ -algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$, where $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$, $\sigma_1^{(l)}(a_i) = (a_{i+1 \pmod{n+1}}, \dots, a_{i+1 \pmod{n+1}})$ ($l \in R, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$), $\sigma_2^{(l)}(a_n) = (a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-1+1})$ ($l \in R$) and for arbitrary $l \in R$ and a_i with $i \neq n$, $\sigma_2^{(l)}(a_i)$ is defined arbitrarily. ($i+1 \pmod{n+1}$ denotes the least residue of $i+1$ modulo $n+1$.) One can see easily that the system $K = \{\mathcal{A}\}$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 2.

References

- [1] GÉCSEG, F. and I. PEÁK, *Algebraic theory of automata*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1972.
- [2] GÉCSEG, F. and M. STEINBY, Minimal ascending tree automata, *Acta Cybernet.*, v. 4, 1978, pp. 37—44.
- [3] GÉCSEG, F. and M. STEINBY, *Tree automata*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, to appear.
- [4] VIRÁGH, J., Deterministic ascending tree automata II, *Acta Cybernet.*, to appear.

(Received Nov. 2, 1982)