## Peer-to-Peer Multi-Class Boosting

István Hegedűs, Róbert Busa-Fekete, Róbert Ormándi, Márk Jelasity, Balázs Kégl



# Motivation

- Machine Learning, Data Mining
  - Identifying representative patterns in data
  - Make compact representation of the data
- Classification
  - Separating different type of patterns to each other
  - Based on (hand-) labeled data
  - E.g. Spam detection, OCR, Speech recognition, NLP, Document classification, …

 We would like to use state of the art classification algorithms in large scale P2P environments on distributed data

Binary classification

**Research Group on Artificial Intelligence** 

*SEDIENSIS* 

SCIENTIARUM SZE

VERSITAS

- Given a set of training samples:  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 



- Binary classification
  - Given a set of training samples:  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$ where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$



**Research Group on Artificial Intelligence** 1 CIENTIARUM SZE 'FRSITAS

- Binary classification
  - Given  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  training samples, where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
  - Task: looking for a **model**  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \{-1, 1\}$  that correctly separates the samples from different classes (minimizes the number of misclassifications)

$$\min_{f} \sum_{i} (f(x_i) - y_i)^2 \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

Binary classification

**Research Group on Artificial Intelligence** 

*<u>SEDIENSIS</u>* 

CIENTIARUM SZE(

'FRSITAS

- Given  $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$  training samples, where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Task: looking for a model  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \{-1, 1\}$

minimizes the error  $\min_{f} \sum (f(x_i) - y_i)^2 \quad i = 1, \dots, n$ 

In linear case the model is a hyper-plane 
$$(w)$$



Binary classification

**Research Group on Artificial Intelligence** 

SCIENTIARUM SZE(

ERSITAS

- Given  $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$  training samples, where  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y_i \in \{-1, 1\}$
- Task: looking for a model  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \{-1, 1\}$  minimizes the error
  - $\min_{f} \sum_{i} (f(x_i) y_i)^2 \quad i = 1, \dots, n$
- In linear case the model is a hyper-plane ( w )
- The label of a new instan-



# **Artificial Intelligence Research Group on** II. VERSITAS SCIENTIARUM SZE

# **Not Linearly Separable Set**

- What can we do?

# **Not Linearly Separable Set**

**Artificial Intelligence** 

Group on

Research

CIENTIARUM SZE

VERSITAS

- What can we do?
  - The linear model is

wrong

# **Not Linearly Separable Set**

**Artificial Intelligence** 

**tesearch** 

CIENTIARUM SZE

/ERSITAS

- What can we do?
  - The linear model is
  - wrong
  - Use "boosted" linear models

# **Not Linearly Separable Set**

ntelligenc

**INTIARI** 

- What can we do?
  - The linear model is
    wrong
  - Use "boosted" linear models

 Improve the performance of the linear models through the boosting technique



- 1. Initializes equal weights for every sample
- 2. Classifies instances
- 3. Re-Weights instances

4. Jump to 2.



- 1. Initializes equal weights for every sample
- 2. Classifies instances
- 3. Re-Weights instances
- 4. Jump to 2.

- **Artificial Intelligence Research Group on** TENTIARUM SZE FRSITA
- 1. Initializes equal weights for every sample
- 2. Classifies instances
- 3. Re-Weights instances
- 4. Jump to 2.

- Artificial Intelligence Research Group on Ě TENTIARUM FRSITA
- 1. Initializes equal weights for every sample
- 2. Classifies instances
- 3. Re-Weights instances
- 4. Jump to 2.



- Initialize equal weights 1. for every sample
- **Classifies instances** 2.
- **Re-Weights instances** 3.
- 4. Jump to 2.



- Initialize equal weights 1. for every sample
  - **Classifies instances**
  - **Re-Weights instances**
- 4. Jump to 2.

## **System and Data Model**

- Given a network of computers (peers or nodes)
- The database is distributed in the network
  - Every node has exactly one training sample → training set size = network size
- Every node can get the address of a randomly selected node from the network
  - using the NewsCast peer sampling service
- Every node can send messages to another node if its address is available

Finally every node can predict labels locally

- 1:  $currentModel \leftarrow initModel()$
- 2: loop 3: wait
- 3: wait( $\Delta$ )
- 4:  $p \leftarrow \text{selectPeer}()$
- 5: sendModel(p, currentModel)

- 6: procedure on ReceiveModel(m)
- 7: m.updateModel(x, y)
- 8:  $currentModel \leftarrow m$



- 1:  $currentModel \leftarrow initModel()$
- 2: loop 3: wai
- 3: wait( $\Delta$ )
- 4:  $p \leftarrow \text{selectPeer}()$
- 5: sendModel(p, currentModel)

- 6: procedure on ReceiveModel(m)
- 7: m.updateModel(x, y)
- 8:  $currentModel \leftarrow m$



- 1:  $currentModel \leftarrow initModel()$
- 2: 3: loop
- $wait(\Delta)$
- 4: $p \leftarrow \text{selectPeer}()$
- 5:sendModel(p, currentModel)

- 6: procedure onReceiveModel(m)
- 7: m.updateModel(x, y)
- 8:  $currentModel \leftarrow m$



- 1:  $currentModel \leftarrow initModel()$
- 2: loop 3: wai
- 3: wait $(\Delta)$
- 4:  $p \leftarrow \text{selectPeer}()$
- 5: sendModel(p, currentModel)

- 6: procedure on ReceiveModel(m)
- 7: m.updateModel(x, y)
- 8:  $currentModel \leftarrow m$



- 1:  $currentModel \leftarrow initModel()$
- $\frac{2}{3}$ : loop
- $wait(\Delta)$
- 4: $p \leftarrow \text{selectPeer}()$
- 5:sendModel(p, currentModel)

- 6: procedure onReceiveModel(m)
- 7: m.updateModel(x, y)
- 8:  $currentModel \leftarrow m$



- 1:  $currentModel \leftarrow initModel()$
- $\frac{2}{3}$ : loop
- $wait(\Delta)$
- 4: $p \leftarrow \text{selectPeer}()$
- 5:sendModel(p, currentModel)

- 6: procedure onReceiveModel(m)
- 7: m.updateModel(x, y)
- 8:  $currentModel \leftarrow m$



- Updating the models of the peers through gossiping that
- The models have to be updatable (online)
  → can be optimized by stochastic gradient descent method
- The models converge while make random walks in the network

Every peer has local model for prediction The data never leaves the node

## **Online FilterBoost**

- FilterBoost is a well known and efficient type of boosting algorithms
- We **adopted** a pure **online** version of this algorithm
- We integrated this algorithm into our learning framework
- Compared its performance to other state of the art boosting methods

#### The Online FilterBoost

**Algorithm 2** FILTERBOOST(INIT(), UPDATE( $\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot$ ), T, C)

1: f<sup>(0)</sup>(x) ← 0 2: for  $t \leftarrow 1 \rightarrow T$  do 3:  $C_t \leftarrow C \log(t+1)$ 4:  $h^{(t)}(\cdot) \leftarrow Init()$ 5: for  $t' \leftarrow 1 \rightarrow C_t$  do Doline base learning  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}) \leftarrow \operatorname{Filter}(\mathbf{f}^{(t-1)}(\cdot))$ 6: Draw a weighted random instance 7:  $\mathbf{h}^{(t)}(\cdot) \leftarrow \text{UPDATE}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{h}^{(t)}(\cdot))$  $\gamma \leftarrow 0, W \leftarrow 0$ for  $t' \leftarrow 1 \rightarrow C_t$  do 8: 9: Estimate the edge on a filtered data  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{w}) \leftarrow Filter(\mathbf{f}^{(t-1)}(\cdot))$ 10: Draw a weighted random instance  $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma + \sum_{\ell}^{K} w_{\ell} h_{\ell}^{(t)}(\mathbf{x}) y_{\ell}, W \leftarrow W + \sum_{\ell}^{K} w_{\ell}$ 11:  $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma/W$ 12:> Normalize the edge  $\alpha^{(t)} \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\gamma}{1-\gamma}$ 13: $\mathbf{f}^{(t)}(\cdot) = \mathbf{f}^{(t-1)}(\cdot) + \alpha^{(t)}\mathbf{h}^{(t)}(\cdot)$ 14: 15: return  $f^{(T)}(\cdot) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \alpha^{(t)} \mathbf{h}^{(t)}(\cdot)$ 16: procedure  $FILTER(f(\cdot))$ 17: $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leftarrow \text{RandomInstance}()$ Draw random instance 18: for  $\ell \leftarrow 1 \rightarrow K$  do  $w_{\ell} \leftarrow \frac{\exp \left(f_{\ell}(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\ell}(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x})\right)}{\sum_{\ell'=1}^{K} \exp \left(f_{\ell'}(\mathbf{x}) - f_{\ell}(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x})\right)}$ 19:20:return (x, y, w)

# **Experimental Setup**

- Peersim simulation environment
- NewsCast peer sampling service
- Baselines: AdaBoost, FilterBoost
- Data sets: CTG, PenDigits, Segmentation
- Modeling environment failures
  - Msg drop, delay and node churn

Measurement: misclassification ratio



# **Diversity Preservation**

- Since the nodes send only the last received model
  - Some model will be replicated
  - Some model will be die out
- → the diversity of the models will be decreased
- We updated our framework to preserve the diversity

 We can exploit the diversity to have better performance

#### **Diversity Preservation**



# Summary

- Improved our learning framework
  - Introduced and integrated a state of the art, pure online classification technique
  - Modified the framework for preserving model diversity
- Tested our algorithm in simulated P2P
  environment
- We achieved good convergence rate and performance compared to the centralized AdaBoost and FilterBoost algorithms

We showed that our method is tolerant for network failures