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Generalizing gossip

• Gossip: periodically do the following
  – Select peer to gossip with
  – Send some information to peer (push)
  – Optionally receive information from peer (pull)
  – Process new information (update own state)

• Dissemination
  – Peer is selected at random
  – Information is an update or news
  – Processing is simple storage
Peer sampling

- Peer sampling service
  - Peer is selected from local partial view
  - Information to gossip is the partial view itself
  - Processing is creating the new partial view

- Topology management (T-Man)
  - Peer is selected from partial view that defines the topology of the overlay we are building (T-Man view)
  - Information is a subset of T-Man view
  - Processing is creating the new partial view: bias towards “close” peers creates a wide range of topologies
“layering” gossip protocols

- Gossip protocols at a node can use each other's state (local view) for peer selection and perhaps other things too.

- For example
  - The local view of the peer sampling protocol contains random samples: huge number of applications (among others, topology building).

- Much more complicated scenarios work too.
Sorting

- Sorted topology
  - Generic overlay builder T-Man can create ring sorted by ID
  - Starts with random topology
  - View gradually converges to right neighborhood, while it is exponentially “shrinking”
Sorting

• Another sorting problem
  – Sort data along some node ID-s (or any node attribute)
  – Analogous to sorting an array
    • Array cell index is the index along which we sort
    • But in our case the index can be arbitrary
  – Note that no overlay is needed by this problem statement

• Approach
  – Three layer approach: use T-Man to build a sorted ring using the ID-s and in parallel sort the data too
Main idea

- Select peers from shrinking T-Man view
- When possible, swap values
  - Possible when index is smaller and value is larger or vice versa
Three gossip layers

- Three gossip protocols cooperate
  - Usual T-Man + peer sampling unit
  - Sorting uses T-Man for peer selection
  - Sorting controls convergence speed of T-Man to get the right pace of shrinking
- T-Man gossips only when sorting no longer finds good peers
Preliminary simulator-implementation and model

- All protocols start at once, “more or less” in synch
- No failure and concurrency is implemented, but
  - No bottlenecks and hot spots can occur due to ideal load balancing
  - We already know that at least peer sampling and T-Man is modeled closely enough; so very likely sorting too
- In case of node or message failure or long delay we can loose data even with reliable transport
  - In target applications (data mining, self-organization) it is often tolerated to some extent
Parameters

- Peer sampling view size is 20, message size also 20
- T-Man view size is 100, message size 10
- In one gossip round, sorting takes the 20 entries from the T-Man view that are closest in the forming ring
  - Probes them in a random order
  - If a suitable peer is found, an exchange is performed and rest of the 20 is not probed (often 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 3\textsuperscript{rd} try works)
  - If no peer is found, a T-Man gossip step is performed to get new entries in the view
Animation with N=10,000
Measure of performance

- A variance like measure to characterize goodness of sorting

\[
\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (j - i_j(t))^2
\]
Logarithmic speed

![Graph showing logarithmic speed against average messages sent for different values of N: N=10,000, N=20,000, N=40,000, N=80,000. The y-axis represents disorder measure on a logarithmic scale, and the x-axis represents average messages sent.]
Summary

- Experimentally $O(\log N)$ speed to achieve perfect sorting
  - Very simple
  - In the lack of failure potentially competitive to sorting networks (constant within $O$ can most likely be reduced significantly)
  - In the presence of failure potentially graceful degradation
- Remotely similar to Shell sort, only probabilistic
- Lots of open questions