Where Was This SQL Query Executed?
A Static Concept Location Approach

Csaba Nagy, Loup Meurice, Anthony Cleve
PReCISE Research Center, University of Namur, Belgium
{csaba.nagy,loup.meurice,anthony.cleve}@unamur.be

Abstract—Concept location in software engineering is the process of identifying where a specific concept is implemented in the source code of a software system. It is a very common task performed by developers during development or maintenance, and many techniques have been studied by researchers to make it more efficient. However, most of the current techniques ignore the role of a database in the architecture of a system, which is also an important source of concepts or dependencies among them.

In this paper, we present a concept location technique for data-intensive systems, as systems with at least one database server in their architecture which is intensively used by its clients. Specifically, we present a static technique for identifying the exact source code location from where a given SQL query was sent to the database. We evaluate our technique by collecting and locating SQL queries from testing scenarios of two open source Java systems under active development. With our technique, we are able to successfully identify the source of most of these queries.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Before developers start working on a given change they always need to identify which parts of the source code implement the feature, and should be examined first. In practice, what they do is a concept location task (also known as feature identification/location) which is “the process that identifies where a software system implements a specific concept” [1]. The input of this process is a change request and the output is the location of the change, typically one or more methods in the source code. The boundaries here are vague, but recent studies define the concept location process as finding only one part of the concept implementation which is the starting point of a change, and the rest of the process (to identify the full extent of the change) will be dealt with impact analysis, say [2], [3].

There are many existing approaches for supporting developers in concept location tasks starting from simple pattern matching (so-called ‘grep’ techniques) to more sophisticated methods like IR-based techniques or dependency analysis [4]. However, existing approaches largely ignore the possible presence of a database in the architecture, which adds further sources of artifacts or dependencies among them to the process.

In this paper, we present a static analysis solution for a typical concept location problem for data-intensive systems: “where was this query executed?”. In particular, we introduce a static analysis technique for identifying the source code location(s) where a given SQL query was sent to the database server.

Typical scenarios for this task are when queries need to be optimized for performance, or when they cause failures (e.g. a syntactic error or a deadlock issue). This task can become really hard as the complexity of a system grows, especially in the case of languages where queries are constructed dynamically (e.g. in Java applications using JDBC). For example, simple grep or code search techniques are not sufficient for systems where thousands of queries are constructed via string operations and methods deep in the call hierarchy. Moreover, persistence frameworks (like Hibernate) can hide the query construction from developers, further complicating the debugging of such issues.

Dynamic techniques exist to trace the query on the database or client side. However, dynamic analysis cannot help us in certain situations. Suppose that the user of the application experiences performance issues at the database; he identifies the query which causes the performance drop back in the log files of the database and sends a bug report. Since the problem occurred in the database and was reported by it (the client was not directly affected), we do not have a stack trace in the bug report. How can we determine where the query was prepared in the source code? We must reproduce everything exactly as the user did, which might prove impossible if we depend on the (possibly confidential) data stored in the database. In such situations, a static approach seems more appropriate.

II. OVERVIEW

Figure 1 shows the main steps of our concept location approach. The process starts with the developer who specifies the SQL query that he would like to find in the source code of an application. Then we analyze the source files including the database schema (e.g. in the format of SQL schema export). The result is a set of matching queries and the locations of the method invocations that send them to the database.

A. Data Access (Query) Extraction

In our analysis we start by determining all those locations in the source code where SQL queries are sent to the database, then resolve the set of potential queries for each location. There are two typical methods to send a SQL statement to a database server: it can be specified explicitly and executed by invoking some methods of APIs (e.g. ODBC or JDBC), or implicitly, by using higher level APIs, which hide the lower level communication with the database server from the developers (e.g. ORMs like Hibernate).

Here, we target two popular libraries from the world of Java: JDBC and Hibernate. With these libraries, one can access data tables as follows:
- Sending native SQL statements directly to the database server (e.g. by invoking executeQuery() in JDBC or createSQLQuery() in Hibernate)
- Constructing HQL or JPA queries as strings to query the database server (e.g. createQuery() in Hibernate)
- Using criteria queries
- Accessing entity objects (e.g. persist(), saveOrUpdate())

Our approach is currently able to handle the first two cases, when a query is specified via string operations and it is possible to extract the query string of a data access point. The success of this technique relies on the resolution of the values of string variables, for which we improved our previous study [5], and we trace back string variables following the control flow and call graph of the application. When a variable cannot be resolved statically, the query cannot be fully extracted and contains unresolved query fragments. As this case is very common, we design our concept location technique to be able to handle unresolved query fragments. For this step, it simply means that we use a special string ‘@null’ as a placeholder for such fragments.

**B. ORM-SQL Translation**

The goal of this step is to handle data access points where we cannot extract a SQL query string, e.g. because an ORM library generates and sends the queries to the database server.

We implement this step by invoking the internal HQL to SQL compiler of Hibernate (org.hibernate.hql.QueryTranslator) for each HQL or JPA query with the same context that would be used for execution. Therefore, when data is accessed by HQL or JPA queries, we are able to generate the SQL query that would be sent to the database by Hibernate at runtime. A sample result of such a translation can be seen in Figure 4.

For data object accesses and criteria queries, however, we cannot use the internal translation engine of Hibernate. One possible solution here is to manually generate a SQL query based on the data objects accessed and the API methods used to access it. During this SQL generation, any uncertainty of dynamic constructs could be handled by using placeholders for unresolved query fragments as illustrated above. However, our current implementation does not support these data accesses.

**C. SQL Parsing**

Once we have all the potential data access points and all the native or translated SQL queries, the next step is to compare all these with the query that the developer is interested in. We perform this comparison at the level of Abstract Syntax Trees (ASTs) in order to have more flexibility for the comparison and to be able to handle unresolved query fragments. It requires a robust SQL parser which is able to handle the dialect of the targeted database management system, and to parse statements with unresolved query fragments.

Our current implementation has a SQL parser which supports the MySQL dialect and whenever it reaches an unresolved query fragment, it adds a special node to the AST that we call a Joker node. In Figure 5 we can see an AST constructed from the statement given in Figure 4.

---

**Fig. 1.** Overview of the approach, where the main steps are in numbered boxes with their respective inputs and outputs

**Fig. 2.** Example Hibernate HQL query construction

**Fig. 3.** HQL query extracted from the code sample in Figure 2

**Fig. 4.** A SQL query translated from the HQL query in Figure 3
D. Query Matching

The goal here is to find those queries that we extracted and have ASTs matching the AST of the query searched by the developer. This can be viewed as a clone matching technique where we attempt to find clones between the extracted and searched queries.

When we compare the ASTs, we follow our recursive definition of the matching relation $match_{exact}(t_i, t_j)$ between $t_i$ and $t_j$ trees, which we define as true if all the attributes of the root node of $t_i$ (root($t_i$)) (including the type of the node) are equal to the attributes of root($t_j$), and for all the $t_{ik}$ subtrees of root($t_i$) and $t_{jk}$ subtrees of root($t_j$), $match_{exact}(t_{ik}, t_{jk})$.

To handle the unresolved fragments, ‘joker’ nodes should match any other nodes or subtrees. That is, we define $match(t_i, t_j)$ as true if either $t_i$ or $t_j$ is a ‘joker’ node or $match_{exact}(t_i, t_j)$ is true.

Figure 6 shows a sample AST which is in $match$ relation with the AST in Figure 5. All the nodes are exactly the same, except the Literal node, which matches the ‘joker’ node in the tree.

III. EVALUATION

We implemented our approach for systems written in Java and accessing a database via JDBC and/or Hibernate. To evaluate it, we tested the implementation on two open source systems, namely OSCAR EMR Clinical Management System\(^1\) and OpenMRS Medical Record System\(^2\). Both systems use JDBC and Hibernate intensively and while OpenMRS uses 89 tables with a Java code base over 301 kLOC, Oscar has 480 tables and 2054 kLOC (see Table I). The size and the architecture of these systems allow us to demonstrate the efficiency of our approach in a real world environment.

A. Query Extraction and SQL Parsing

Table I shows the number of SQL queries that we successfully extracted and parsed from the source code of Oscar\(^3\) and OpenMRS\(^4\). Both systems follow the Data Access Object pattern (but not strictly), hence most of the queries are prepared and sent to the database from DAO classes. It can be seen, however, that Oscar mixes the usage of JDBC and Hibernate, while OpenMRS uses Hibernate more extensively. The column of successfully parsed statements shows the number of queries for which we could successfully construct an AST. Queries that we cannot parse might contain syntactic errors or language constructs that our parser cannot handle in its current implementation state.

B. Query Matching

To play the role of the developer who seeks a problematic query, we collected SQL queries from execution traces of usage and testing scenarios. Both Oscar and OpenMRS have a test database available in their source repository, and their developers intensively use unit tests for basic functionalities and DAO implementations too. Oscar and OpenMRS have 1311 and 3258 test cases respectively, in their unit testing framework. We executed all these test cases and used log4jdbc\(^5\) to trace database usage. For all the collected SQL queries, we saved the actual stack trace too. Then we filtered queries (based on their traces) that were sent to the database via JDBC or Hibernate HQL/JPA and we tried to locate them with our method.

The concept location task is injective: one query is sent to the database from exactly one location. However, one location can implement several queries. In fact, the same query string could be constructed in more locations too. Owing to this fact, and because of unresolved code fragments, we usually cannot report just the exact location where the query was sent to the database, but provide a set of matching locations. We treat (for the evaluation) this set as true positive if it contains the locations where the query was sent to the database, and false positive otherwise.

Table II and III show the number of queries and the true positive ($TP$) or false positive ($FP$) location sets, respectively, with the true or false positive ratios ($TPR$, $FPR$). Queries where the set of locations reported is empty are false negatives ($Queries – TP – FP$). Max, Avg, Var Match stands for the maximum, average and variance values for the sizes of the sets of locations reported.

The results of JDBC reveal that we were able to identify most of the queries of Oscar usage scenarios with a TPR (which is actually equal to the recall in our case) of 87-99%.

---

\(^1\)http://oscar-emr.com/
\(^2\)http://openmrs.org/
\(^3\)Checked out from GitHub at August 26, 2014.
\(^4\)Checked out from GitHub at November 6, 2014.
\(^5\)https://code.google.com/p/log4jdbc/
The results of Hibernate look promising too. Except for two scenarios, we were able to identify the origin of 60-95% of the queries by reporting almost everywhere just the matching location (see Avg. Match values). These results could probably be improved by getting a better parsed-extracted ratio for HQL/JPA queries in Oscar (see Table I).

Figure 7 shows a sample SQL query that we traced from the Billing scenario of Oscar and its origin, which is shown in Figure 8.

```sql
SELECT billingser0_.billingService_no AS BillingService_no , billingser0_.anaesthesia AS anaesthesia , billingser0_.billingService_date AS billingDate , billingser0_.description AS description , billingser0_.displaystyle AS displaystyle , billingser0_.percentage AS percentage , billingser0_.region AS region , billingser0_.service_code AS serviceCode , billingser0_.specialty AS specialty , billingser0_.termination_date AS terminationDate , billingser0_.value AS value , billingser0_.from AS from , billingser0_.where AS where , billingser0_.service_code AS 'A001A' AND billingser0_.billingService_date <= '2014-10-28' AND billingser0_.billingService_code AS 'A001A'
```

Fig. 7. An example SQL query from the Billing scenario of Oscar.

We collected the biggest number of distinct query strings from unit tests and got false positive reports because of methods in complex DAO classes where we could not extract query strings due to dynamic query construction.

C. Threats to Validity

Oscar and OpenMRS have been developed by two separate communities, hence, they have a different design and use a different coding style, e.g. for data accesses. It makes them good candidates for our technique, but so far our evaluation was performed only on them and it is possible that other systems might produce different results.

Our implementation is limited to some technologies as well. The query extraction technique is limited to JDBC and Hibernate and able to extract SQL, HQL and JPA queries and to identify data access points for criteria queries or simple Object accesses. The ORM-SQL translation is just able to handle HQL and JPA while the SQL parser supports only MySQL. Hence, we had to make the assumption for our evaluation that a developer seeks these kinds of queries and when we collected SQL strings, we filtered and kept only JDBC/Native/HQL/JPA queries. With this filtering, we also filtered statements that were also generated by Hibernate, e.g. because of two-pass (Eager/Lazy) fetching. We note, however, that for a general solution it would be necessary to support all the special features of the ORM library.

IV. RELATED WORK

Pioneer research on concept location dates back to the early '90s when Wilde et al. addressed the problem of locating user functionalities by "using carefully designed test cases as probes to identify code that is closely related to a particular user functionality" [6]. Since then, many researchers have studied different techniques, which they sometimes refer to as feature/concern location/identification too. Wilde et al. used a dynamic approach, but there are static techniques such as...
information retrieval [2] or dependency analysis [7]; or it is also possible to combine static and dynamic approaches [8].

Dit et al. published a survey in which they identified 89 papers dealing with concept location [4].

One core step of our approach is the extraction of query strings from the source code, called the query extraction. Here, we rely on a technique by Meurice et al. [5] because of its ability to handle unresolved fragments. This area has also been intensively studied [9], [10] for different purposes such as quality assessment [11] and error checking [12], [13]. Some of these approaches are called as SQL fault localization [14] or fault diagnostics [15], but their goal is different (prevention by identifying erroneous SQL statements). Available techniques are usually unable to handle unresolved fragments of a query string, which allows us to compare extracted strings with concrete queries.

Our method for identifying data access points and extracting nested SQL queries has many other applications such as test generation [16], test coverage measurement [17], test selection [18], database semantic recovery [19], optimization [20] and impact analysis [21].

Compared to the above approaches, our study is, to the best of our knowledge the very first to propose a static analysis technique that addresses a specific concept location question for database applications: where was this SQL query executed?

V. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PLANS

There are several forum questions and blog posts like “Finding the origin of a Query”6 and “Backtrace from SQL query to application code”7 and because of the lack of static tools, they almost always recommend a dynamic solution. In contrast, as we pointed out earlier, dynamic analysis is not always feasible. Here, our goal was to devise a static analysis approach and to demonstrate its potential use.

Preliminary results show that a static technique can achieve good precision and recall with good true/false positive ratio in locating SQL queries sent to the database over JDBC or Hibernate. Although our implementation is just limited to these technologies, the main steps of the approach are general enough to be adapted to other technologies (e.g. a different ORM), and may possibly provide similar results.

As we mentioned above, we are not able to handle all the features of Hibernate, but we have preliminary solutions. Once we are able to handle Criteria queries and simple Object accesses, we can perform a thorough evaluation on more systems and usage scenarios.
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