# Packing Equal Circles in a Square II. — New Results for up to 100 Circles Using the TAMSASS-PECS Algorithm \*

L.G. CASADO AND I. GARCÍA leo@miro.ualm.es, inma@iron.ualm.es Department of Computer Architecture and Electronics, University of Almería, Spain.

P.G. SZABÓ AND T. CSENDES {pszabo, csendes}@inf.u-szeged.hu Department of Applied Informatics, József Attila University, Szeged, Hungary

Received 31.7.99

**Abstract.** In this work we propose a new stochastic optimization algorithm for solving the problem of optimal packing of n non-overlapping equal circles in a square. It will be shown that our procedure can find most of the optimal solutions for all the problems previously solved and reported in the literature. Results obtained by our algorithm for up to 100 circles are given in relevant numerical and graphical form. For n = 32, 37, 47, 62 and 72 the algorithm has obtained better solutions than those reported on in the literature on packing. In addition, forty new and unpublished packing results are reported on. The arrangements obtained were validated by interval arithmetic computations.

Keywords: Optimal Packing, Equal Circles, Unit Square.

## 1. Introduction

The packing circles in a unit square problem has been already introduced in details in the first paper [16]. The version of the problem discussed in this paper is: locate n points in a unit square, such that the minimum distance  $m_n$  between any two points will be maximal. The problem of maximizing the minimal pairwise distance of n points, which are contained in a unit square, can be formulated as the following continuous global optimization problem:

$$\mu(x,y) = \min_{1 \le i \le j \le n} \sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2} \\ \frac{\max \mu(x,y)}{x, y \in [0,1]^n, \ n > 1 \text{ integer}},$$
(1)

where  $x_i, y_i$  are the coordinates of the *i*-th point.

<sup>\*</sup> This work was supported by the SOCRATES-ERASMUS program (25/ERMOB/1998-99), by the Ministry of Education of Spain(CICYT TIC96-1125-C03-03) and by the Consejería de Educación de la Junta de Andalucía (07/FSC/MDM), and by the Grants FKFP 0739/97, OTKA T 016413 and T 017241.

The computational results for the packing circles in a unit square problem, reported in the literature, were obtained by using several different procedures. As an example, the method used by R.L. Graham and B.D. Lubachevsky [3] is based on billiards simulation, K.J. Nurmela and P.R.J. Östergård [11] built a minimization of energy function strategy, standard BFGS quasi-Newton algorithm were used by R. Peikert *et al.* [13], nonlinear programming solver (MINOS 5.3) by C.D. Maranas *et al.* in [6] or Cabri-Géomètre software by M. Mollard and C. Payan in [9].

Most of the algorithmic suggestions used for solving (1) are based on Global Optimization stochastic approaches, nevertheless, there have been several papers ( [5], [13]) published with the description of deterministic approaches. Deterministic methods can ensure that their solutions are optimal solutions for (1), and by applying these kinds of method optimal solutions for  $n \leq 27$  have, actually, been found. The main problem of these deterministic techniques is that as the number of spread points increases, an explosion of the computational burden occurs. For instance, for n = 7 a nontrivial procedure involving a serious amount of computations must run 8 times, but for n = 14 this number is 9,808 and for n = 23 it is 288,873,270. Optimal solutions have been found only for up to n = 27 even with the best known deterministic algorithm. However, for these kinds of algorithms it is also necessary to a priori know an approximate value or a lower bound of the solution. Thus, stochastic algorithms are useful, at least for revealing a good lower bound.

The paper is a source of new results to the literature on packing for up to one hundred circles. Some of our results represent improvements compared to the best known results; some others confirm some results of the literature and the rest correspond to unpublished results. All our computational results were obtained by the stochastic algorithm called TAMSASS-PECS (Threshold Accepting Modified Single Stochastic Search for Packing Equal Circles in a Square) described in Section 2. Our numerical results are precise in all digits, and they were verified by interval arithmetic computations. The numerical results and their graphical presentation in Section 3 show to what extent TAMSASS-PECS is able to find optimal or good solutions.

## 2. The TAMSASS-PECS algorithm

The TAMSASS-PECS algorithm is based on the Threshold Accepting method [2] and on our modified version of SASS (Single Agent Stochastic Search) [4, 7, 8, 14, 15] (MSASS). The TAMSASS-PECS algorithm has specifically been built to solve the packing n equal circles in a square problem, but can be easily extended to pack equal circles in other regular shapes.

The Threshold Accepting framework and the SASS local search algorithm are used for finding a local maximum of a function defined over a search space. As for all stochastic local search algorithms, the probability of finding a global solution grows with the number of trial points. These methods are based on stepwise improvements of the current solution by movements in the solution space. The following notations and definitions, as in [10], will be used. Definition 1. Let  $S_F \subseteq S$  be the set of feasible solutions for a maximization problem and S the search space. We require that S be finite. The goal of the maximization problem is to:

maximize 
$$f(s)$$
 subject to  $s \in S$ ,

where  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}$  is the objective (cost) function and  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers.

Definition 2. A move is defined as :

$$d: S(d) \to S.$$

where  $S(d) \subseteq S$  is the domain of the move. The set of all the moves of the problem is D. We claim that the union of the domains for all move sequences in D is the solution set, thus there is no point in the search space that cannot be reached from any points by multiplied use of moves.

We denote the application of a move d to a candidate solution  $s \in S$  by d(s).

Definition 3. A candidate solution s' is a *neighbour* of the candidate solution s, if

$$s' = d(s)$$
 for some  $d \in D$ .

The neighbourhood N(s) of a solution  $s \in S(d)$  is the union of all the neighbours of s:

$$N(s) = \bigcup_{d \in D} d(s)$$

Definition 4. A candidate solution s is a global optimum if there is no other candidate solution s' in the search space S such that f(s') > f(s). A candidate solution s is a *(weak) local optimum* if there is no other candidate solution s' in the neighbourhood N(s) of s such that f(s') > f(s). In case all the neighbours of s have a value of the objective function smaller than f(s), then s is a strong local optimum.

Formally, the Threshold Accepting algorithm [2] is very similar to the simulated annealing algorithms. In Threshold Accepting we accept every move that leads to a new solution not much worse than the current solution. To be more specific if s is the current solution, the proposed next solution  $s' \in N(s)$  is accepted as the next solution if

$$\Delta = f(s) - f(s') < T_h,$$

where  $T_h > 0$  is the threshold level. During the optimization process the threshold level has been gradually decreased like the temperature in simulated annealing. In Threshold Accepting a move which would give a solution much worse than the

Algorithm 1 : The Threshold Accepting Algorithm.

<sup>1</sup> Select an initial solution s while stopping criterion not satisfied do  $\mathcal{D}$ while inner loop criterion not satisfied do 3 Select  $s' \in N(s)$ 4  $\Delta = f(s) - f(s')$ 5 $\underline{\mathbf{if}} \ \Delta \leq T_h$ 6 s = s' $\overline{\gamma}$ Decrease threshold  $T_h$ 8 return the best found solution 9

current solution is rejected, unlike in simulated annealing. The convergence of the Threshold Accepting algorithm has been proved for some cases [1].

The Threshold Accepting method is described in Algorithm 1. The inner loop is performed a constant L times and the stopping criterion is fulfilled when the final value of the threshold level is reached. A new candidate solution can be taken also when  $\Delta$  is equal to the threshold level, keeping the sideway moving with the same function value allowed. In [2] a more adaptive inner loop stopping criterion is worked with: it ends the inner loop after no improvements were made in the last steps.

Random optimization is traditionally based on single agent stochastic search strategies [8]. S.S. Rao [14] and D.C. Karnop [4] used a uniform random variable as the move function, d(s). J. Matyas utilized Gaussian perturbations for the move function with a bias term to direct the search,  $\xi \sim N(b, \sigma \mathbf{I})$  [7]. F.J. Solis and J.B. Wets [15] enhanced this approach by evaluating the objective function at  $s' = s - \xi s$  if the evaluation at  $s' = s + \xi s$  does not improve the current value of the objective function and incorporated a variable perturbation variance. The bias term and this additional function evaluation serve as stochastic equivalents to incorporating momentum and gradient information. The SASS method is given in Algorithm 2.

The variance of the perturbation size,  $\xi$ , is controlled by the number of repeated successes and failures, *scnt* and *fcnt*, respectively, in selecting a neighbour that decreases the value of the objective function. Note that conditions in line 4 are mutually exclusive. The contraction (*ct*) and expansion (*ex*) constants as well as the upper and lower bounds on the standard deviation of the random perturbation ( $\sigma_{sup}, \sigma_{inf}$ ) are set by the user. The standard deviation of the perturbation is increased when it falls below a given lower bound  $\sigma_{inf}$  (line 4). The contraction and expansion of  $\sigma$  are performed when the number of successes, *scnt*, or failures, *fcnt*, are greater than the user given constants, *Scnt* and *Fcnt*, respectively. The values 0.4 and 0.2, which modify the bias value are retained from F.J. Solis and J.B. Wets's paper [15]. Other values used in [15] are: ex = 2, ct = 0.5, Scnt = 5, Fcnt = 3,  $\sigma_{sup} = 1.0$  and  $\sigma_{inf} = 10^{-5}$ . The standard deviation  $\sigma$  specifies the size of the sphere that most likely contains the perturbation vector and the bias

| Al            | gorithm 2 : The Single Agent Stochastic Search  |
|---------------|---|
| 1             | <b>proc</b> $SASS(s_0, ex, ct, Scnt, Fcnt, \sigma_{sup}, \sigma_{inf}, NIter)$  |
| $\mathcal{Z}$ | <b><u>var</u></b> $b_0 := 0; \ k := 1; \ scnt := 0; \ fcnt := 0; \ \sigma_0 := 1;$  |
| $\mathcal{B}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{while}} \ k < NIter \ \underline{\mathbf{do}}$  |
|               | $\sigma_k := \begin{cases} ex \cdot \sigma_{k-1} & if \ scnt > Scnt \\ ct \cdot \sigma_{k-1} & if \ fcnt > Fcnt \\ \sigma_{sup} & if \ \sigma_{k-1} < \sigma_{inf} \\ \sigma_{k-1} & otherwise \end{cases}$ |
| ,             | $\sigma_{k} := \int ct \cdot \sigma_{k-1}  if \ fcnt > Fcnt$  |
| 4             | $\sigma_{k} := \int \sigma_{sup} \qquad if \ \sigma_{k-1} < \sigma_{inf}$   |
|               | $\sigma_{k-1}$ otherwise  |
| 5             | Generate a random vector $\xi_k$ with $N(b_k, \sigma_k \mathbf{I})$ distribution;   |
| 6             | $\underline{\mathbf{if}} f(s' = s_k + \xi_k) > f(s_k)$  |
| $\gamma$      | $\underline{\mathbf{then}}$   |
| 8             | $s_{k+1} := s';$  |
| g             | $b_{k+1} := 0.2b_k + 0.4\xi_k;$   |
| 10            | scnt := scnt + 1;   |
| 11            | fcnt := 0;  |
| 12            | $\underline{else}$  |
| 13            | $\underbrace{\underline{\mathbf{if}}}_{\mathbf{f}(s'=s_k-\xi_k)>f(s_k)} \mathbf{f}(s_k)$  |
| 14            | $\underline{\text{then}}$   |
| 15            | $s_{k+1} := s';$  |
| 16            | $b_{k+1} := b_k - 0.4\xi_k;$  |
| 17            | scnt := scnt + 1;   |
| 18            | fcnt := 0;  |
| 19            | $\underline{\mathbf{else}}$   |
| 20            | $s_{k+1} := s_k;$   |
| 21            | $b_{k+1} := 0.5 b_k;$   |
| 22            | fcnt := fcnt + 1;   |
| 23            | scnt := 0;  |
| 24            | k := k + 1;   |
|               |   |

term b locates the center of the sphere based on directions of a past success. In line 13 a reverse strategy, seeking for a better solution in the opposite direction of the originally failed move, is performed. The stopping criterion is based on the number of iterations.

The TAMSASS-PECS algorithm is established on a Threshold Accepting method and on a modified version of SASS (MSASS), which was particularly designed for improving the current solution s of the packing equal circles in a square problem. The objective function returns the minimum distance from the center of a circle to the other ones, and the problem at hand consists in maximizing this minimum distance for all centers. Thus, the program iteratively does a maximization of the minimum distance between circle centers.

MSASS, which is in charge of perturbing the location of a point  $s_i$ , has been built on the parameters provided by TAMSASS-PECS. This perturbation is intended to

**Algorithm 3** TAMSASS-PECS: a threshold accepting algorithm adapted to the packing equal circles in a square problem.

1 Select an initial solution s 2 Select an initial value for  $T_h$ 3 Select an initial standard deviation  $\sigma$ 4 <u>while</u>  $\sigma > \sigma_{final} \underline{do}$ 5 <u>while</u> All centers are not visited  $\underline{do}$ 6  $s = MSASS(s, \sigma, T_h, NextCenter(s))$ 7 Decrease  $T_h$ 8 Decrease standard deviation  $\sigma$ 9 extreme the best form d solution

9 **return** the best found solution

increase the minimum value of the distances,  $(d_{i,j})$ , between  $s_i$  and any point  $s_j$  $(1 \leq j \neq i \leq n)$ . It moves the point  $s_i$  to a new location  $s'_i$  and computes the value of the new minimum distance  $d'_{i,j}$ . Following the Threshold Accepting strategy, a move is accepted if  $d_{i,j} - d'_{i,j} < d_{i,j}T_h$ , where  $d_{i,j}T_h$  is the threshold level and  $T_h > 0$ . New trial locations of point  $s'_i$  are restricted to the neighbourhood of the current location of the point  $s_i$ . This neighbourhood is determined by a normal distribution  $N(s_i, \sigma \mathbf{I})$  around  $s_i$ . While the Threshold Accepting condition is not satisfied, new locations for the point  $s_i$  should be tested following the classical SASS algorithm, although the number of trials is limited by a given maximum value (see Algorithm 4).

The TAMSASS-PECS algorithm starts with a pseudorandom initial solution (the location of the *n* points  $s_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ , which are generated by dividing the square in  $t = k \times k$  non-overlapping tiles, where  $k = \lceil \sqrt{n} \rceil$ , and  $\lceil . \rceil$  indicates the smallest integer not less than the argument. Notice that the number of points *n* is less than or equal to the number of tiles *t*. The first point is located randomly at the center of the first or second tile. The following points are located at the center of a tile which is separated from the previous by one free tile in a row order. The remaining points are randomly allocated at the free tiles, (one point in one tile). Nevertheless, based on our experience if the threshold level is not very small, then the initial solution is not crucial because large random movements are allowed.

The initial value for the threshold level is  $T_h = 0.02$  and the standard deviation  $\sigma$  is equal to the common diameter of the tiles. The TAMSASS-PECS algorithm tries to improve the current solution by an iterative procedure. At every step, the MSASS subroutine is executed for all the *n* points using the same values for both the standard deviation  $\sigma$  and the threshold level  $T_h$ . The criterion to stop this iterative procedure is based on the value of the standard deviation, which is decreased by a factor of 0.99 at every iteration. The threshold level is also decreased by the same factor.

At every iterative step, MSASS is performed for all the points n in an increasing order, which is determined by the value of the minimum distance from any point to the rest of points  $(d_{i,j})$ . The value of the minimum distance is updated after every

Algorithm 4 : The Modified Single Agent Stochastic Search subroutine

```
1 proc MSASS(s, \sigma_0, T_h, i)
       var scnt := 0; fcnt := 0; Fcnt := 3; ct := 0.5; \sigma := \sigma_0:
 2
           while fcnt < 4 \cdot Fcnt \wedge scnt = 0 do
 3
                    \sigma := \begin{cases} ct \cdot \sigma & if \ fcnt > Fcnt \\ \sigma & otherwise \end{cases}
 4
                    Generate a random vector \xi with N(0, \sigma \mathbf{I}) distribution;
 5
                    s'(i) := s(i) + \xi;
 6
                    \underline{\mathbf{if}} f(s(i)) - f(s') \le f(s(i))T_h
 \gamma
                        then
 8
                                s(i) := s'(i);
 g
                                scnt := scnt + 1;
10
                         <u>else</u>
11
                                s'(i) := s(i) - \xi;
12
                                \underline{\mathbf{if}} f(s(i)) - f(s') \le f(s(i))T_h
13
                                    then
14
                                            s(i) := s'(i);
15
                                            scnt := scnt + 1;
16
                                     else
17
                                            fcnt := fcnt + 1;
18
19
                    return s
20
           end
```

execution of MSASS. The description of the TAMSASS-PECS method is given in Algorithms 3 and 4.

# 3. Computational results

In this section results obtained by carrying out the algorithm suggested in Section 2 will be compared to the best results found previously reported on in the literature. The programs were coded in C and run under Linux on a Pentium II PC with 266 Mhz. Since the underlying problems are very hard, sometimes the solution of a problem required multiple runs, which took CPU time between a few seconds to a few hours. The numerical results in details together with graphical representations are available at http://www.inf.u-szeged.hu/~pszabo.

Tables 1-2 show some results of the problem for  $2 \le n \le 100$ . In the second and third columns we can see the best results reported on in the literature with their references for every value of n. The rest of the columns, with headers  $m_n$ ,  $c_n$ ,  $f_n$  and  $\rho_n$ , refer to the numerical results of our algorithm, where:

- $m_n$ : the solution of the packing problem found by TAMSASS-PECS,
- $c_n$ : the number of contacts between circles and between circles and the sides of the square,

- $f_n$ : the number of free circles, and
- $\rho_n$ : the density values of the packing.

The definitions of  $c_n$ ,  $f_n$  and  $\rho_n$  can be found in the previous paper [16]. The last column, (\*), indicates whether the results obtained by our algorithm are better or worse than those previously published by other authors or by any chance equal to them. The meaning of symbols used in this column is as follows

- +: our result improves all the previously published results,
- -: an earlier result is better than our one,
- =: the TAMSASS-PECS algorithm has obtained the same result as the best reported one,
- N: it is a new result, previously unpublished.

Let us conclude the results of Table 1 and 2:

- In 20 out of 59 cases we have found the same results as reported on in the literature. Most of them are optimal solutions of the packing problem.
- In 34 cases our results have been worse than those of other authors. Nevertheless, for several cases our algorithm has obtained similar final geometrical arrangements, and the difference is only in the accuracy of the calculated numerical values.
- For n = 32, 37, 47, 62, and 72 our results improve all of those previously published.
- 40 new results have been generated. In all these cases the value of  $m_n$  is better than or equal to the new lower bound described in [16].

The accuracy of our results is  $10^{-14}$ , and the feasibility of these solutions was verified by interval arithmetic.

On the one hand, there are no better packings in the very close neighbourhood of the given results according to these tests. However, these interval verification tests cannot prove global optimality. At the end of this section packing diagrams of the solutions of problem (1) obtained by the TAMSASS-PECS algorithm are shown (see Figures 1–5). Geometrical arrangements have been drawn for n = 2 to n = 100 and for n = 150 just as an example that TAMSASS-PECS is able to give a solution which is better than the one obtained by the lower bounds described in [16].

### 4. Summary

There has been a threshold accepting algorithm introduced, which is based on a modified single agent stochastic search procedure for the solution of the equal circles packing in the unit square problem. As a result of extensive computational efforts, we have found improved packings for 5 specific problems, while in 27 cases the available known packings were confirmed. In addition, forty new and unpublished packing results are reported on. Since the underlying algorithm does not make much use of the special structure of the present problem, we expect that it can be well utilised in other packing problems, too.

### References

- I. Althöfer and K.U. Koschnick. On the convergence of threshold accepting. Appl. Math. Optim., 24:183–195, 1991.
- 2. G. Dueck and T. Scheuer. Threshold accepting: a general purpose optimization algorithm appearing superior to simulated annealing. J. Comput. Phys., 90:161–175, 1990.
- 3. R.L. Graham and B.D. Lubachevsky. Repeated patterns of dense packings of equal disks in a square. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 3:1–16, 1996.
- 4. D.C. Karnop. Random search techniques for optimization problems. *Automatica*, 1:111–121, 1963.
- 5. M. Locatelli and U. Raber. A Deterministic Global Optimization Approach for Solving the Problem of Packing Equal Circles in a Square. *International Workshop on Global Optimization (GO.99)*, Firenze, Italy, 1999
- 6. C.D. Maranas, C.A. Floudas, and P.M. Pardalos. New results in the packing of equal circles in a square. *Discrete Mathematics*, 142:287–293, 1995.
- 7. J. Matyas. Random optimization. Automatization and Remote Control, 26:244–251, 1965.
- J.R. McDonnell and D. Waagen. Evolving recurrent perceptrons for time-series modeling. IEEE Trans. on Neural Networks, 5(1):24–38, 1994.
- M. Mollard and C. Payan. Some progress in the packing of equal circles in a square. Discrete Mathematics, 84:303–307, 1990.
- K.J. Nurmela. Constructing combinatorial designs by local search. Series A: Research Reports 27, Digital Systems Laboratory, Helsinki University of Technology, 1993.
- K.J. Nurmela and P.R.J. Östergård. Packing up to 50 equal circles in a square. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 18:111–120, 1997.
- R. Peikert. Dichteste Packungen von gleichen Kreisen in einem Quadrat. Elemente der Mathematik, 49:16–26, 1994.
- 13. R. Peikert, D. Würtz, M. Monagan, and C. de Groot. Packing circles in a square: a review and new results. In P. Kall (ed.), System Modelling and Optimization, volume 180 of Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, 45–54. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- 14. S.S. Rao. Optimization Theory and Applications. John Willey and Sons, New York, 1978.
- 15. F.J. Solis and J.B. Wets. Minimization by random search techniques. *Math. of Operations Research*, 6(1):19–50, 1981.
- P.G. Szabó, T. Csendes, L.G. Casado and I. García. Packing Equal Circles in a Square I. Problem Setting and Bounds for Optimal Solutions. This volume. Available at http://www.inf.u-szeged.hu/~csendes/pack1.ps.gz

| in the literature $m_n$ $c_n$ $f_n$ $d_n$ *21.41421356237309[12]1.41421356237309500.53901208445264=31.03527618041008[12]1.000000000001200.7853981633744=41.000000000000[12]0.707106781186541200.67376510556580=60.60092521257733[12]0.600925212577331300.6639108264079=70.5389838486224[12]0.517638090205442000.73996382525330=90.5000000000000[12]0.500000000000002400.78539816339744=100.42127954398390[3]0.421279543983902100.69003578526417=110.38820731023684[12]0.388730126323012500.7384682338444=120.38873012632302[12]0.348915260374013210.7356792554268=130.36609600766443[12]0.341081377402103600.753502630323=140.34891526037401[12]0.3304262628663800.756335785566=150.34108137740210[12]0.306153985303333410.7353052263104=160.33333333333[12]0.306153985303333410.735305263638=200.2864165235168[12]0.28641991993563720.75633582663397456=210 | n  | The best result                        | Ref. |  | Our   | Resul | ts               |   |
|--|----|--|------|--|-------|-------|------------------|---|
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    |  |      | $m_n$                                  | $c_n$ | $f_n$ | $d_n$            | * |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 2  | 1.41421356237309                       | [12] |  |       |       | 0.53901208445264 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 3  | 1.03527618041008                       | [12] | 1.03527618041008                       | 7     | 0     | 0.60964480874135 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 4  | 1.000000000000000000000000000000000000 | [12] | 1.0000000000000000                     | 12    | 0     | 0.78539816339744 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 5  | 0.70710678118654                       | [12] | 0.70710678118654                       | 12    | 0     | 0.67376510556580 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  | 6  | 0.60092521257733                       |      | 0.60092521257733                       | 13    | 0     | 0.66395690946413 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 7  | 0.53589838486224                       | [12] | 0.53589838486224                       | 14    | 1     | 0.66931082684079 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 8  | 0.51763809020504                       | [12] | 0.51763809020504                       | 20    | 0     | 0.73096382525390 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 9  | 0.5000000000000000                     | [12] | 0.500000000000000                      | 24    | 0     | 0.78539816339744 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 10 | 0.42127954398390                       | [3]  | 0.42127954398390                       | 21    | 0     | 0.69003578526417 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 11 | 0.39820731023684                       |      | 0.39820731023684                       | 20    | 2     | 0.70074157775610 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 12 | 0.38873012632302                       | [12] | 0.38873012632301                       | 25    | 0     | 0.73846822388404 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$  | 13 | 0.36609600769643                       |      | 0.36609600769623                       | 25    | 1     | 0.73326469490355 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$  | 14 | 0.34891526037401                       |      | 0.34891526037401                       | 32    | 1     | 0.73567925554268 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$  | 15 | 0.34108137740210                       | [12] | 0.34108137740210                       | 36    | 0     | 0.76205601092668 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$  | 16 | 0.33333333333333333                    | [12] | 0.33333333333333333                    | 40    | 0     | 0.78539816339744 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$  | 17 | 0.30615398530033                       | [12] | 0.30615398530033                       | 34    | 1     | 0.73355026330232 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 18 | 0.30046260628866                       |      | 0.30046260628866                       | 38    | 0     | 0.75465335787566 | = |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 19 | 0.28954199199498                       | [12] | 0.28954199199356                       | 37    | 2     | 0.75230789673638 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 20 | 0.28661165235168                       | [12] | 0.28661165235168                       | 44    | 0     | 0.77949368686760 | = |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 21 | 0.27181225535931                       | [3]  | 0.27181168718966                       | 40    | 2     | 0.75335522651101 | - |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 22 | 0.26795840155072                       | [3]  | 0.26795835833157                       | 42    | 1     | 0.77167991577529 | - |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$   | 23 | 0.25881904510252                       |      | 0.25881904510252                       | 56    | 0     | 0.76363103212612 | = |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 24 | 0.25433309503024                       | [3]  | 0.25433309503024                       | 56    | 0     | 0.77496325975782 | = |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 25 | 0.2500000000000000                     |      | 0.250000000000000                      | 60    | 0     | 0.78539816339744 | = |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 26 | 0.23873475724122                       |      | 0.23873475724121                       | 56    | 2     | 0.75846909048393 | _ |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 27 | 0.23584952830141                       | [3]  | 0.23584952830050                       | 55    | 0     | 0.77231145646250 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 28 | 0.23053549364267                       |      | 0.23053540627071                       | 56    | 1     | 0.77185363595323 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 29 | 0.22688290074421                       | [3]  | 0.22688290074420                       | 65    | 1     | 0.77890624177970 | - |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 30 | 0.22450296453109                       |      | 0.22450296453108                       | 65    | 0     | 0.79201902646073 | - |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 31 | 0.21754729161912                       |      | 0.21754726920445                       | 54    | 4     | 0.77729734717346 | - |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 32 | 0.21308235294443                       | [3]  | 0.21317456258979                       | 63    | 3     | 0.77600412447400 | + |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 33 | 0.21132838414326                       | [3]  | 0.21132833175032                       | 64    | 1     | 0.78885198102597 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 34 | 0.20560464675957                       |      | 0.20560464675956                       | 80    | 0     | 0.77664906433227 | - |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 35 | 0.20276360086323                       |      | 0.20276360086322                       | 80    | 0     | 0.78122721299871 | - |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 36 | 0.200000000000000000000000000000000000 | [3]  | 0.200000000000000000000000000000000000 | 84    | 0     | 0.78539816339744 | = |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | 37 | 0.19623810145141                       |      | 0.19642918368533                       | 73    | 2     | 0.78330271742989 | + |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    | 0.19534230412691                       |      | 0.19534202418353                       |       |       | 0.79704064569288 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 39 | 0.19436506316151                       |      | 0.19436506314440                       | 80    |       | 0.81117902717206 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    |  |      |  |       |       |                  | - |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |    |  |      |  |       |       |                  | - |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 42 | 0.18427707211710                       |      | 0.18427707211709                       | 90    | 0     | 0.79868427865342 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 43 | 0.18019113545743                       |      | 0.18019112440214                       | 83    | 1     | 0.78726408457444 | - |
| $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 44 | 0.17863924567120                       |      | 0.17863916198187                       |       | _     |                  | - |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 45 | 0.17571631417559                       |      | 0.17571631417546                       | 94    | 3     | 0.78944426849399 | - |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 46 | 0.17445936087241                       |      | 0.17445933585066                       | 90    |       | 0.79718693728810 | - |
| 49 0.16738607686833 [3] 0.16738607686832 120 1 0.79121698952690 -  | 47 | 0.17126830721141                       |      | 0.17127055746101                       | 93    | 2     | 0.78929383201248 | + |
|  | 48 | 0.16938210954876                       | [3]  | 0.16938050793544                       | 97    | 1     | 0.79094499029400 | - |
| 50  0.16645462588286  [3]  0.16645461022968  102  0  0.79967929970534  -   | 49 | 0.16738607686833                       | [3]  | 0.16738607686832                       | 120   | 1     | 0.79121698952690 | - |
|  | 50 | 0.16645462588286                       | [3]  | 0.16645461022968                       | 102   | 0     | 0.79967929970534 | - |

Table 1. Best results of the packing circles in a unit square problem for  $2 \le n \le 50$ .

| n        | The best result   | Ref. |                                      | Our Results  |                |                                       |        |  |
|----------|-------------------|------|--------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--|
|          | in the literature |      | $m_n$                                | $c_n$        | $f_n$          | $d_n$                                 | *      |  |
| 51       | 0.16561837431260  | [3]  | 0.16561390218764                     | 90           | 2              | 0.80861948195609                      | _      |  |
| 52       | 0.16538623796964  | [3]  | 0.16538621483322                     | 103          | 0              | 0.82253064459417                      | _      |  |
| 53       | -                 | _    | 0.16264607633837                     | 93           | 4              | 0.81462525898420                      | Ν      |  |
| 54       | 0.15913951630719  | [3]  | 0.15913951414578                     | 109          | 2              | 0.79940760435030                      | _      |  |
| 55       | 0.15755574752972  | [3]  | 0.15755573439859                     | 105          | $^{2}$         | 0.80027118200754                      | _      |  |
| 56       | 0.15615650046215  | [3]  | 0.15615650046214                     | 119          | 0              | 0.80235172950297                      | _      |  |
| 57       | _                 | _    | 0.15474723074928                     | 107          | 3              | 0.80396408840952                      | Ν      |  |
| 58       | _                 | _    | 0.15130206629471                     | 109          | 6              | 0.78673600284850                      | Ν      |  |
| 59       | _                 | _    | 0.15029765718520                     | 118          | 3              | 0.79108990822535                      | Ν      |  |
| 60       | 0.14950565404867  | [3]  | 0.14945461308474                     | 125          | 3              | 0.79666571193460                      | _      |  |
| 61       | 0.14854412669518  | [3]  | 0.14854408720568                     | 119          | 1              | 0.80137375361858                      | _      |  |
| 62       | 0.14569394327531  | [3]  | 0.14671467098564                     | $113 \\ 114$ | $\overline{5}$ | 0.79710938267796                      | 4      |  |
| 63       | 0.14003034021001  | [0]  | 0.14667828199655                     | 118          | $\frac{3}{2}$  | 0.80961563357266                      | Ν      |  |
| 64       | _                 | _    | 0.14532088220301                     | 132          | 3              | 0.80922920583758                      | N      |  |
| 65       |                   |      | 0.14352088220301<br>0.14456113665810 | $132 \\ 112$ | 5              | 0.81438235586667                      | N      |  |
| 66       |                   |      | 0.14380319561470                     | $112 \\ 120$ | $\frac{3}{2}$  | 0.81438235380007<br>0.81934777745264  | N      |  |
|          | _                 | _    |                                      |              | $\frac{2}{5}$  |                                       | I<br>I |  |
| 67       | -                 | _    | 0.14304397643538                     | 118          |                | 0.82409628091674                      |        |  |
| 68<br>68 | -                 | _    | 0.14288350731175                     | 115          | 6              | 0.83475507341762                      | ľ      |  |
| 69       | _                 | _    | 0.13993726983993                     | 117          | 3              | 0.81666484211077                      | ľ      |  |
| 70       | -                 | -    | 0.13787246738894                     | 114          | 5              | 0.80715295095497                      | ľ      |  |
| 71       | -                 | -    | 0.13593878298879                     | 133          | 3              | 0.79859232191938                      | ľ      |  |
| 72       | 0.13549029317569  | [3]  | 0.13569567607132                     | 129          | 7              | 0.80729163319538                      | -      |  |
| 73       | -                 | —    | 0.13389894938934                     | 135          | 5              | 0.79949982777920                      | ľ      |  |
| 74       | -                 | -    | 0.13265445602583                     | 141          | 6              | 0.79720572964583                      | ľ      |  |
| 75       | -                 | -    | 0.13241101073542                     | 108          | 11             | 0.80536208356566                      | ľ      |  |
| 76       | -                 | —    | 0.13094762506744                     | 127          | 9              | 0.80022799448052                      | ľ      |  |
| 77       | -                 | -    | 0.13047875975659                     | 120          | 6              | 0.80562963362431                      | ľ      |  |
| 78       | 0.13046077259640  | [3]  | 0.13045661660359                     | 157          | 3              | 0.81584734690826                      | -      |  |
| 79       | -                 | -    | 0.12976111574313                     | 131          | 8              | 0.81852673455691                      | ľ      |  |
| 80       | -                 | _    | 0.12886897358290                     | 147          | 5              | 0.81882205826177                      | ľ      |  |
| 81       | -                 | _    | 0.12833078028621                     | 170          | 3              | 0.82293152331831                      | ľ      |  |
| 82       | -                 | -    | 0.12646140351791                     | 145          | 4              | 0.81168420885740                      | ľ      |  |
| 83       | -                 | _    | 0.12609559154647                     | 167          | 3              | 0.81736730901968                      | ľ      |  |
| 84       | -                 | _    | 0.12555071002762                     | 152          | 5              | 0.82087566500715                      | Γ      |  |
| 85       | _                 | _    | 0.12511540460076                     | 146          | 4              | 0.82553641420847                      | ľ      |  |
| 86       | _                 | _    | 0.12500381019147                     | 123          | 7              | 0.83392471939050                      | ľ      |  |
| 87       | _                 | _    | 0.12230707667418                     | 158          | 7              | 0.81150070836153                      | ľ      |  |
| 88       | _                 | _    | 0.12099893725078                     | 162          | 10             | 0.80523985787757                      | Ν      |  |
| 89       | _                 | _    | 0.12080111590653                     | 140          | $14^{-1}$      | 0.81201615746621                      | N      |  |
| 90       | _                 | _    | 0.11988928132785                     | 160          | 7              | 0.81010801424488                      | Ň      |  |
| 91       | _                 | _    | 0.11916044953221                     | 172          | 8              | 0.81023468623028                      | N      |  |
| 92       | _                 | _    | 0.11910044933221<br>0.11854559378259 | $172 \\ 175$ | 4              | 0.81023408023028<br>0.81159835604241  | N      |  |
| 92<br>93 |                   | _    | 0.11834339378239<br>0.11827868195489 | 167          | 4<br>6         | 0.817139835004241<br>0.81711971813354 | N      |  |
| 93<br>94 | _                 | _    | 0.11733029717908                     | $107 \\ 158$ | 10             | 0.81711971815354<br>0.81409469757729  | I<br>N |  |
|          | _                 | _    | 0.11733029717908<br>0.11668436071663 | $158 \\ 174$ | 4              |                                       |        |  |
| 95<br>06 |                   | _    |                                      |              |                | 0.81466286707370                      | N      |  |
| 96<br>07 | _                 | _    | 0.11633897630581                     | 192          | 5              | 0.81887839722130                      | N      |  |
| 97       | -                 | —    | 0.11618529717218                     | 179          | 4              | 0.82545113090290                      | N      |  |
| 98       | -                 | —    | 0.11610339843903                     | 170          | 7              | 0.83290785939723                      | Ν      |  |
| 99       | -                 | _    | 0.11601228667056                     | 193          | 2              | 0.84022403037368                      | P      |  |
| 100      | -                 | -    | 0.11456309641300                     | 184          | 6              | 0.82979353948491                      | ľ      |  |

Table 2. Best results of the packing circles in a unit square problem for  $51 \le n \le 100$ .



Figure 1. The best found packings for 2-21 circles (*n* is the number of circles, *c* the number of contacts, *f* the number of free circles, *m* the maxmin distance, *r* the radius of circles, and *d* is the density of the packing). The free circles are denoted by dark shading.



Figure 2. The best found packings for 22 - 41 circles (*n* is the number of circles, *c* the number of contacts, *f* the number of free circles, *m* the maxmin distance, *r* the radius of circles, and *d* is the density of the packing). The free circles are denoted by dark shading.



Figure 3. The best found packings for 42 - 61 circles (*n* is the number of circles, *c* the number of contacts, *f* the number of free circles, *m* the maxmin distance, *r* the radius of circles, and *d* is the density of the packing). The free circles are denoted by dark shading.



Figure 4. The best found packings for 62 - 81 circles (*n* is the number of circles, *c* the number of contacts, *f* the number of free circles, *m* the maxmin distance, *r* the radius of circles, and *d* is the density of the packing). The free circles are denoted by dark shading.



Figure 5. The best found packings for 82 - 100, and 150 circles (*n* is the number of circles, *c* the number of contacts, *f* the number of free circles, *m* the maxmin distance, *r* the radius of circles, and *d* is the density of the packing). The free circles are denoted by dark shading.